EXHIBIT A

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,)	Case No. CV-2016-09-3928	
Plaintiffs,)	Judge James A. Brogan	
VS.)	AFFIDAVIT OF	
KISLING, NESTICO, & REDICK, LLC, et al.,)	MINAS FLOROS	
LLC, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		

Now comes Affiant, Minas Floros, a Chiropractic Physician, having first been sworn upon his oath, and attests as follows:

- 1. I am of legal age, sound mind, and otherwise competent to testify.
- 2. This affidavit is based on my personal knowledge.
- 3. I am a licensed Chiropractic Physician in the State of Ohio and I am in good standing.
- 4. I have been an employee at Akron Square Chiropractor (ASC) since 2004.
- 5. ASC currently employs two chiropractors. At times, ASC has hired temporary chiropractors from a staffing agency.
- 6. As part of my chiropractic practice with (ASC), I provide various treatments to injured patients, which include all passive and active therapies, consultations, spinal manipulation, muscle stimulation, trigger point therapy, intersegmental traction, dry hydrotherapy, active release technique, passive stretching, therapeutic exercises, and

- neuromuscular reeducation. I diagnosis injuries and provide patients with a treatment plan.
- 7. Each patient I treat has unique injuries and conditions, which require unique treatment plans tailored to their specific needs. No patient is the same.
- 8. With car accident victims, the most common injuries that I treat are soft tissue injuries, also known as whiplash injuries. Id. These types of injuries are considered "subjective" because they cannot be easily seen or diagnosed like a broken bone or laceration. These types of injuries can also take a prolonged time heal, depending on several factors (e.g. preexisting conditions, source of impact, position when injured, age, prior injuries, location of injury). If left untreated, these injuries can evolve into a condition requiring more invasive treatments, like surgery. For this reason, it is important that car victim receives immediate care.
- 9. Because of these health risks, potential issues in proving their claim, and need for immediate care with no upfront costs, injured car victims often prefer treatment with chiropractic clinics, like ASC.
- 10. In treating personal injury patients, it is also common for patients to want legal help.
- 11. My services, however, are limited to chiropractic treatments only; I do not provide legal assistance. I will, however, recommend various law firms to patients.
- 12. I do not have a policy on recommending patients to any particular law firms. But I have often recommended patients to Kisling, Nestico & Redick when they ask for legal help.
- 13. I have recommended KRN to my patients for multiple reasons. First, I am friends with Rob Nestico and other attorneys at KNR. Second, I believe that KNR's attorneys

will treat my patients well. Third, I like that KNR is one of the largest personal injury firms in the Akron area and offers legal assistance past working hours (I often work until 7:00 pm). And fourth, I know that KNR will likely pay (with the permission of their client) ASC's bill for chiropractic treatment or portion thereof from the settlement proceeds.

- 14. I will often recommend patients to other attorneys, such as Slater & Zurz, Gary Himmel, Alberto Pena, Elk and Elk, Amourgis and Associates, and Skolnick Weiser Law Firm, and Lisa Haywood.
- 15. I have never had quid pro quo agreement with KNR or any other law firm
- 16. I have never had a quid pro quo agreement with another medical provider.
- 17. I have never accepted kickback payments in exchange for patient referrals.
- 18. I will sometimes recommend several attorneys at once to a patient. This allows the patient to choose the attorney or law firm that best fits their needs.
- 19. When I am finished treating a patient that is also represented by a law firm, like KNR. an attorney will often send me a request to prepare a narrative report for the patient/client.
- 20. From my understanding, law firms, like KNR, request narrative reports because they are often necessary in litigation and are helpful with presenting, proving, and negotiating personal injury claims. But I am not an attorney and I have no legal experience. I have no legal knowledge of what should be in an expert report. I have no legal knowledge of what effect his narrative report will have on each client's case or what value it will add. I usually have no knowledge of the status of the case and

- whether it is in litigation. I have no legal knowledge of what adjusters and attorneys are looking for in an expert report.
- 21. I have no knowledge of the conversations that attorneys have with their client about their narrative report. I have no knowledge on whether an attorney deducts a narrative fee from their client's settlement as an expense.
- 22. In my narrative reports, I often summarize a patient's experience and treatment. This helps laypersons (adjusters and attorneys) understand the medical notations in the patient's file so that it may be presented cohesively in the representation of their client. I also an expert medical opinion on whether the client's injuries are related to the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractic probability. Id. I also give an expert opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future, as well as the estimated cost of future care. Sometimes I will include citations to published reports in support of my opinion. When applicable, I will give an opinion on preexisting injuries that may have been exacerbated by the accident.
- 23. I often spend a significant amount of time on preparing narrative reports, which includes reviewing the patient's medical file. For instance, I estimate that Thera Reid's report took between 1-2 hours because of serious nature of her injuries.
- 24. Because I spend time and effort in preparing the narrative reports, I often charge a fee to the law firm of either \$150 or \$200 for each narrative report.
- 25. This is a reasonable rate for a chiropractic narrative report.
- 26. Each narrative report I prepare is different. Each report has facts and opinions unique to each patient, and often include an outline of future risks, a future care opinion, and estimated costs of future care.

- 27. I have often changed the style, format, and content of my narrative reports.
- 28. I have never had an attorney complain about reports or request more information. I would have no reason to believe that my narrative reports were deficient.
- 29. I have never had any ownership rights in ASC. I am only employee and my duties are mainly limited to providing chiropractic care.
- 30. ASC lawfully solicits new patients under Ohio law and in accordance with Ohio Chiropractic Board Association. It is common for chiropractic clinics to solicit through telemarketing.
- 31. ASC employs telemarketers. I do not personally employ telemarketers.
- 32. ASC only obtains transportation if the injured party cannot drive and obtain transportation because of their injuries. ASC does not employ drivers or advertise free rides.
- 33. ASC accepts payments from medpay and workers' compensation insurance. Often, patients injured in a car accident will also work directly with an insurance company and not go through an attorney to avoid attorney fees. In those cases, patients often pay ASC directly by cash or bank draft for services rendered.
- 34. ASC will also often work directly with car insurance companies. I estimate that thousands of patient's bills are directly submitted and negotiated with a car insurance company and not an attorney.
- 35. ASC has submitted claims to patients' health insurer, but these claims are usually denied because ASC is out-of-network with health insurance providers. At one time, ASC was networked with an insurance provider called Coventry Health Network.

EXTO

- 37. When a new patient comes to ASC they fill out paper work that is typical for medical providers, which includes new patient information and medical history. This paperwork never includes an attorney fee agreement.
- 38. If a patient wants to speak to an attorney and decides on their own that they need legal representation, then employees at ASC recommend various attorneys.
- 39. At times, law firms will call and fax over forms for the patient to review and sign if the patient wants immediate representation. When this happens, the law firms have spoken to the patient over the phone.
- 40. The amount ASC charges patients for chiropractic treatments is reasonable. customary, and akin to other chiropractors in Ohio
- 41. All patients at ASC, including patients represented by KNR, have their care determined solely by the chiropractor in charge.
- 42. In most cases, ASC also takes significant reductions on their bills. And unlike other health providers, ASC does not seek reimbursement from their patients' personally or pursue collections against their patients for unpaid bills. Nor does ASC sell outstanding bills to collection companies, which is a frequent practice of other medical providers.
- 43. ASC takes on the risk of nonpayment if patient does not have insurance coverage or if there is limited or no recovery on the injury claim, which is a common occurrence.
- 44. At various times, based upon the medical condition of the clients, I would refer patients to one of a multitude of medical providers.

- 45. ASC and I do not pressure patients to see other doctors.
- 46. Based upon my professional chiropractic judgment, and only if I determine it is in the best interest of a given patient, I refer a small percentage of my patients to Dr. Ghoubrial or another medical doctor for medical consultation because the services a medical doctor can provide to help the patient heal faster are out of my professional scope of practice.
- 47. The reason for referring patients to Dr. Ghoubrial depended solely on the patient's medical conditions and needs.
- 48. Form my experience, patients usually respond well to treatment from Dr. Ghoubrial.
- 49. ASC and I do not financially benefit in referring patients to Dr. Ghoubrial. In fact, it often results in greater reduction in our bills because there are more providers that need to be paid from a settlement.
- 50. I only prepare a narrative report on request. On many occasions, attorneys at KNR do not request narratives reports. For instance, attorneys at KNR do not usually request a narrative report if a patient is a minor (under 15 years old), if the patient has not received care for two weeks, or if the patient has under 6 treatment visits.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

EXTO

Page 9 of 57

Minas Floros, Chiropractic Physician

STATE OF OHIO

) SS:

COUNTY OF ____

)

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED in my presence by MINAS FLOROS, Chiropractic Physician this day of June, 2019.

NOTARY PUBLIC



SHAUN H. KEDIR

Attorney At Law
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF OHIO
My Commission Has
No Expiration Date
Section 147.03 O.R.C.

EXHIBIT B

```
3
                                                                                        1
                                                                                                    1 ALSO PRESENT:
                                        IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
                2
                                              SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO
                                                                                                    2
                                                                                                               John J. Reagan, Esq.
                3
                          MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al..
                                                                                                                Peter Graves - videographer
                                        Plaintiffs,
                                                                                                    3
                5
                                                    CASE NO. CV-2016-09-3928
                6
                          KISLING, NESTICO
& REDICK, LLC, et al.,
                7
                                       Defendants.
                9
                                                                                                    £
               10
               11
                          Videotaped deposition of MINAS FLOROS, DC, taken
                                                                                                   8
              12
                          as if upon examination before Brian A. Kuebler,
                                                                                                  10
               13
                          Kurt Spencer, Notary Publics within and for the
                                                                                                  11
               14
                          State of Ohio, at the Pattakos Law Firm, 101
                                                                                                  12
              15
                          Ghent Road, Fairlawn, Ohio, at 9:09 a.m. on
                                                                                                  13
              16
                          Friday, March 20, 2019, pursuant to notice and/or
                                                                                                  14
              17
                          stipulations of counsel, on behalf of the
                                                                                                  15
              18
                          Plaintiffs.
                                                                                                  16
              19
                                                                                                  17
                                           JK COURT REPORTING
55 PUBLIC SQUARE
SUITE 1332
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113
(216)664-0541
              20
                                                                                                  18
              21
                                                                                                  19
              22
                                                                                                  20
                                                www.jarkub.com
                                                                                                  21
              24
                                                                                                  22
              25
                                                                                                  23
                                                                                                  24
                                                                                                  25
  1
       APPEARANCES:
                                                                                                   1
                                                                                                        EXAMINATION
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. PATTAKOS
EXAMINATION
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. POPSON
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. PATTAKOS
EXAMINATION
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. PATTAKOS
EXAMINATION
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. MANNION
RE-EXAMINATION
MINAS FLOROS, D
BY MR. PATTAKOS
                                                                                                          EXAMINATION
             Peter Pattakos, Esq.
Rachel Hazelet, Esq.
101 Ghent Road
Akron, Ohio 44333
(330) 836-8533
  2
                                                                                                   2
                                                                                                                                                                          5
  3
                                                                                                   3
  4
                                                                                                   4
                                                                                                                                                                          2 5 6
             peter@pattakoslaw.com
  5
                                                                                                   5
                                                                                                                                              D C
                  On behalf of the Plaintiffs;
                                                                                                                                                                         2 5 8
  6
                                                                                                   6
              Thomas P. Mannion, Esq 🖟
            Lewis Brisbois
1375 East 9th Street, S
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 344-9467
 7
                                                                                                  7
                                                                                                                                                                          2 5 9
                                                          Suite 2250
 8
                                                                                                   8
                                                                                                                                              DC
                                                                                                                MR. PATTAKOS
                                                                                                         ΒŶ
                                                                                                                                                                         260
 9
             t`om.mannion@lewisbrisbois@com
                                                                                                   9
                                                                                                                                     EXHIBIT INDEX
10
                                                                                                 10
                                                                                                                    (Morning session Exhibits marked)
             James M. Popson, Esq.
Sutter, O'Connell
3600 Erieview Tower
1301 East 9th Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 928-2200
11
                                                                                                 11
                                                                                                        Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 16
Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 35
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 52
Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 53
Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 54
Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 666
Plaintiff's Exhibit 6
Plaintiff's Exhibit 7
Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, 9, 10, 11 12
Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 14
12
                                                                                                 12
13
                                                                                                 13
            jpopson@sutter-law.com
14
                                                                                                 14
                  On behalf of the Defendants,
Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC;
15
                                                                                                 15
16
                                                                                                 16
             Shaun H. Kedir, Esq.
                                                                                                                   (Afternoon session Exhibits marked)
            Shaun H. Kedir, Esq.
Feagan Law
101 West Prospect Avenue, Suite 1600
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 937-2222
Skedir@feaganlaw.com
17
                                                                                                 17
                                                                                                         Exhibit 13
                                                                                                                                                                         169
18
                                                                                                 18
                                                                                                         Exhibit 14
                                                                                                                                                                         188
19
                                                                                                 19
                                                                                                         Exhibit
                                                                                                         Exhibit 16
Exhibit 17
                                                                                                                                                                            0.0
                  On behalf of the Defendant, Dr. Minas Floros, DC;
20
                                                                                                 20
                                                                                                                                                                         208
                                                                                                         Exhibit
21
                                                                                                 21
                                                                                                                                                                         2 1 6
2 1 7
2 1 7
                                                                                                         Exhibit
Exhibit
            Brad Barmen, Esq.
Lewis Brisbois
1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 344-9467
Ryan.rubin@lewisbrisbois.com
22
                                                                                                 22
                                                                                                        Exhibit
                                                                                                         Exhibit
                                                                                                                                                                           1 8
23
                                                                                                 23
                                                                                                         Exhibit
                                                                                                        Exhibit
                                                                                                        Exhibit
                                                                                                                         2 5
24
                                                                                                 24
                                                                                                                                                                         2 1 9
                                                                                                        Exhibit
25
                  On behalf of the Defendants,
                                                                                                 25
                                                                                                        Exhibit
                                                                                                                        27
                                                                                                                                                                           4 8
                  Sam N. Ghoubrial, MD;
                                                                                                        Exhibit
```

		5			7
1		MINAS FLOROS, of lawful age, called by the	1	A.	Bachelor's of the human biology.
2		Plaintiffs for the purpose of examination, as	2	Q.	And that qualifies you to practice chiropractic?
3		provided by the Rules of Civil Procedure, being	3	A.	No, then I got my doctorate of chiropractic in
4		by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,	4		2004 after I graduated from Logan College of
5		deposed and said as follows:	5		Chiropractic. I obtained my bachelor's of
6		EXAMINATION OF MINAS FLOROS	6		science in the first three trimesters at Logan.
7		BY MR. PATTAKOS:	7		There was a ceremony and then the program
8	Q.	Good morning, Dr. Floros.	8		continued and that's when I got my doctorate of
9	A.	Good morning.	9		chiropractic.
10	Q.	I'm Peter Pattakos. I represent the Plaintiffs	10	Q.	I understand. Okay. And what year did you
11		in this lawsuit, Williams v. KNR, et al.	11		obtain the doctorate of chiropractic degree?
12		You understand that you're here to provide	12	A.	2004.
13		testimony in that case today?	13	Q.	When did you start working for Akron Square?
14	A.	Yes.	14	A.	November 2004.
15	Q.	Will you please state your full name, for the	15	Q.	So that was your first job?
16		record.	16	A.	Correct.
17	A.	Dr. Minas Floros.	17	Q.	Out of chiropractic school?
18	Q.	And your date of birth?	18	A.	Correct.
19	A.	February 13, 1979.	19	Q.	And you've never worked anywhere else?
20	Q.	And where were you born?	20	A.	No.
21	A.	Toronto. Ontario, Canada.	21	Q.	Okay. What is Universal Reports Plus, LLC?
22	Q.	Have you ingested any substances recently that	22	A.	It's a company I opened maybe a year into
23		would impair your ability to remember events	23		practicing chiropractic. It's to do depositions,
24		accurately and testify truthfully today?	24		discovery depositions, when I'm asked upon,
25	A.	No.	25		narrative reports, and that's where I report
		6			8
1	Q.	Is there any other reason you would not be able	1		income to.
2		to remember events accurately or testify	2	Q.	You report income for the reports and litigation
3		truthfully today?	3		work that you do through Universal Reports, LLC?
4	A.	No.	4	A.	
5	Q.	Where did you go to high school?	5	Q.	Okay. So if you're paid to testify in a case, it
6		Winston Churchill Collegiate Institute.	6		will be reported through that?
7	Q.	And where is that?	7		Correct.
8	Α.	It's in Scarborough Ontario.	8	Q.	Okay. So does Universal Report Plus, LLC, file
9		What year did you graduate?	9		separate tax returns?
10	A.	High school? I don't remember. Nineteen ninety	10	A.	Oh, I don't know. My accountant does all of that
11		I don't know, six maybe, five. I don't	11	_	stuff. I have no idea.
12	_	remember.	12	Q.	Okay. And it said in your discovery responses
13		Where did you go to college?	13		that you're the sole owner and member of this
14		York University in Toronto Ontario.	14		LLC?
15		And what year did you graduate from York?	15		Yes.
16	Α.	I did three years and I got an early acceptance	16		There are no employees?
17	_	into Logan College of chiropractic.	17	A.	No.
18		So you didn't graduate from York?	18	Q.	Can you please describe, generally, the services
19	A.	No, I got my bachelor's of human biology at Logan	19		that you as a chiropractor provide for your
20	^	College of Chiropractic.	20		patients?
21		Okay. And where is that?	21	Α.	All passive and active therapies, including, you
22	Α.	In St. Louis, Missouri. In Chesterfield,	22		know, consultations, x-rays, spinal manipulation,
23 24	^	Missouri, just outside of St. Louis. I'm sorry, what's the degree that you obtained	23		muscle stimulation, trigger point therapy,
7 44	5.4	ini soriy, what's the dedree that you obtained	24		intersegmental traction, dry hydrotherapy, active
25	٠.	there?	25		release technique, passive stretching,

11 1 therapeutic exercises, neuromuscular reeducation. Q. Okay. Or the, for example, hydrotherapy? 2 Just a bunch of passive and active therapies. A. Uh-huh. 3 Q. What's the difference between passive and active 3 Q. That's on a table as well? A. Correct. 4 therapies? 5 A. Active therapy is where the patient is moving Q. Can you describe what that is? 6 during the therapy. Or interactive manipulation 6 A. Dry hydrotherapy is a -- it's almost like a water 7 is an active therapy. An example of passive 7 pressure massage table. The patient first sits 8 therapy is muscle stimulation and intersegmental 8 at the edge of the table. We usually apply some 9 9 traction. Those are more passive when the stimulation, neck or back or shoulder, wherever 10 patient or myself is not actually directly 10 they're having chief complaints. They lay down. 11 involved in the therapy. 11 There's water jets, water pressure that put 12 Q. Sorry, what did you just say, the patient is not 12 pressure on the muscles to reduce muscle spasm of 13 directly involved? 13 tension, swelling, this kind of stuff. 14 A. Well, they're involved, they've got, you know, 14 Q. So it's like a massage that happens automatically 15 like, for example, muscle stimulation is when two 15 by water? 16 stim pads are placed on the muscles of the 16 A. Correct. 17 17 Q. On a machine? patient and the patient sits there or lays on the 18 table, but I'm not actively involved in the 18 A. Correct. 19 therapy. 19 Q. And what's neuromuscular reeducation? 20 Q. Okay. 20 A. Neuromuscular reeducation is reeducating the 21 A. That's passive, that's an example of passive 21 joints. For example, we use wobble boards for 22 22 therapy. Active therapy is more spinal knee injuries and ankle injuries. The patient 23 manipulation, therapeutic exercises, those are 23 either stands or sits and we balance them. They 24 active therapies. 24 stand up for balance. That's an example of 25 Q. Therapeutic exercises, the patient would be 25 neuromuscular reeducation. 10 12 1 active? 1 Q. They stand up and you balance them? 2 A. Correct. 2 A. Yeah. So it's a wobble board. It's the circular 3 Q. Okay. Not you? 3 board with either two balls underneath the board A. Not me, no. 4 or one and they, you know, stand and they balance Q. Okay. 5 themselves or they're seated and they put their 6 A. I would show the patient what to do and I'd 6 ankles back and forth if we're retraining the 7 launder the patient doing the therapeutic 7 ankle for movement. That's an example of 8 exercises. neuromuscular reeducation. 9 Q. Are there any other therapies where the patient 9 Q. It's not unlike physical therapy, correct? 10 is active or usually --10 A. Sure. It's very similar to physical therapy. 11 A. Like I said, they're active. If they're present, Q. Something that a physical therapist might do? 11 12 then all of the therapies. 12 A. They could do that, of course. Physical 13 Q. Sure. 13 therapists do very similar passive therapies as 14 A. Are they active moving around --14 chiropractors do and vice versa. 15 Q. I guess --15 Q. Sure. So what sets a chiropractor apart from a 16 A. -- it depends. Like if I adjust the patient, 16 physical therapist or a masseuse? 17 they're active. If I'm adjusting their lumbar 17 A. Well, a chiropractor --18 spine, they're active in the sense that they roll 18 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 19 on their side or they're elevating their leg. 19 A. -- a chiropractor can diagnose conditions. A 20 They're definitely active in the manipulation 20 chiropractor can take x-rays. A chiropractor can 21 portion of the therapy, but in terms of muscle 21 make referrals to other sources. I don't know if 22 stimulation, for example, or intersegmental 22 a physical therapist can. 23 traction, they're laying on a table and the table 23 Q. Uh-huh. 24 is performing the traction, the intersegmental 24 A. Massage therapists cannot diagnose. 25 traction. 25 Q.

13 15 1 A. It's very different than a massage therapist. 1 the sports medicine physician would have the 2 2 Q. Okay. But when you say diagnose, what's the ability to prescribe medications; is that fair? 3 3 significance of a diagnosis in this context? A MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 physical therapist sees the same patient and says 4 A. Correct. And sports medicine physicians a lot of 5 5 I think you have X, and a chiropractor sees the times have chiropractors in their office. I 6 6 same patient and says I think you have X, what's would say most sports medicine facilities that I 7 the difference? 7 know of have chiropractors in their office. 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. Q. To perform spinal manipulations, for example? 9 A. I don't know if there's a difference. I don't 9 A. Yeah. Just different active and passive 10 know if a physical therapist actually diagnoses 10 therapies. 11 conditions. For example, I refer patients with 11 Q. So what I want to understand is what can a 12 my diagnosis over to the Akron General Wellness 12 chiropractor do -- or what does a chiropractor 13 Center. The physical therapists there consult me 13 typically provide that cannot be obtained from a 14 regarding treatment. They ask me to sign off on 14 combination of a sports medicine physician 15 15 working with a physical therapist or a the therapy they're going to do. I approve the 16 therapy and I refer back or I fax the form that 16 massotherapist? 17 they send me back and they perform the treatment 17 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 18 that we both agreed upon. 18 A. They can probably get similar therapies at both 19 I don't know if they have diagnosing skills. 19 places as opposed to -- well, you can't -- as a 20 20 I don't know if they can use CPT codes. I have chiropractor, I'm not prescribing any medication. 21 21 so --22 Q. Okay. A sports medicine physician, on the other 22 Q. I understand that. 23 hand, would have the authority to diagnose, 23 A. -- they wouldn't get that aspect of the 24 correct? 24 treatment, but in terms of the type of therapy 25 25 MR. KEDIR: Objection. they would receive, it's in terms of the therapy 14 16 A. Yeah. So chiropractors and sports medicine 1 1 part, the active and passive therapy, is pretty 2 physicians, we both can diagnose. 2 much equal as opposed to -- I shouldn't say that. 3 3 Q. Okay. But a sports medicine -- so what would you Physical therapists do not perform spinal 4 say the main difference between chiropractic and 4 manipulations. So the patient will not receive 5 sports medicine are? 5 spinal manipulation at a physical-therapy based 6 A. Oh, very different. Right. So we treat 6 office as opposed to a chiropractic office. 7 7 basically similar conditions. For example, we'll Q. Okay. And sports medicine physicians typically 8 use one of your clients, we'll use Thera Reid, 8 do not perform spinal manipulations either, is 9 for example, who had soft tissue injuries to her 9 that your understanding? 10 shoulder, neck, back, contusions on her legs. We 10 A. No. It depends. If they're sports medicine 11 would treat that inflammation with therapies. 11 physicians, DOs, doctors of osteopaths, a lot of 12 12 You know, spinal manipulation, muscle them will perform spinal manipulations. Some of 13 13 stimulation, traction, hydrotherapy. them do and some of them don't do it. They'll 14 A sports medicine doctor would treat the 14 refer out to chiropractors to perform the spinal 15 inflammation different. They would treat it with 15 manipulations. 16 chemicals. With medication. That's the main 16 Q. Moving right along here. Okay. 17 difference. And sports medicine physicians a lot 17 MR. PATTAKOS: Let's mark Exhibit 18 of times have physical therapists in their 18 1. 19 office. And they would refer that patient to a 19 20 20 physical therapist to do active and passive (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 was marked 21 therapies in conjunction with the medicine that 21 for purposes of identification.) 22 22 they're prescribing. 23 Q. Sure. Okay. So a sports medicine physician and 23 Q. This is a document that your attorney produced in 24 24 a physical therapist would work together to this litigation. It appears to reflect the

25

25

agreement for which Thera Reid was at least

provide similar treatment to chiropractic except

73 75 A. -- I have absolutely no idea, Peter. 1 1 or not the patient does that recommendation is up 2 2 Q. Okay. You will often refer patients to law to them, but I definitely do not refer anybody to 3 3 firms, correct? any law firms. A. No, I don't refer patients to law firms, sir. 4 Q. Whether a patient follows a referral is up to 5 5 Q. You don't -them too, correct? 6 6 Δ. No. A. Sure. But I'm trying to help the patient get 7 7 Q. -- do it at all? well. And I write a prescription for the patient 8 8 A. No. to be seen, like I said, at an orthopedic 9 9 Someone at your office does? surgeon's office, a neurologist's office, a 10 A. No. Nobody refers any patients to any attorneys. 10 physical therapy office, a medical doctor's 11 I recommend attorneys. I don't refer patients --11 office, any sort of specialist. I usually write 12 Q. You recommend --12 it down on paper and I give them a referral slip, 13 A. -- to attorneys. 13 but I don't do that with law firms. I've never 14 Q. -- attorneys. Okay. So what's the difference 14 referred any of my patients to any law firms and 15 15 between referring and recommending, in your mind? I especially haven't referred any of your clients 16 A. Well, there's two different definitions. A 16 to any law firms. 17 17 referral is a direct source of help. A But when you recommend a law firm to a patient. 18 recommendation is an endorsement of a law firm. 18 you are also trying to help the patient, correct? 19 When I refer a patient to a neurosurgeon, I'm 19 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 making a direct reference for help for that 20 A. No, I'm not trying to help the patient at all. I 21 patient. I don't endorse a neurosurgeon, I refer 21 just -- look, I'm not a lawyer, legal questions 22 22 to a neurosurgeon. I refer to an orthopedic come up all the time. A new patient comes in, 23 surgeon. I refer to the Akron General Wellness 23 they ask me a ton of questions. They want to 24 Center. I don't recommend it, I refer it. 24 know who's going to pay their bill. They want to 25 25 I put a -- I sign a piece of paper, a know what's going to happen if they don't pay the 74 76 1 prescription for the patient to be seen at an 1 bill. They want to know, you know, why an 2 office. I don't refer anybody to any law firms. 2 insurance company hasn't called them, who should 3 3 Q. You wouldn't sign a prescription to send a they contact, what should they do. I can't --4 patient to a law firm? 4 I'm not a lawyer, I can't instruct them on what 5 A. I don't reefer any of my patients to any law 5 to do. So I recommend -- when legal questions 6 come up, I recommend them talking to one of the firms: 6 7 Q. You recommend law firms? 7 attorneys that does auto accident cases. 8 A. Absolutely. Yes, I do. 8 Q. And you do that because it's helpful to the 9 9 Q. Okay. I'm not sure there's a difference in the patient to do that, correct? 10 way I'm using the word, but --10 A. I do --11 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 11 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 12 A. There's a complete difference in the definition 12 A. -- that because it's my recommendation because I 13 between a referral and a recommendation, complete 13 cannot answer the question. If it's a legal 14 14 different source. question -- imagine I answered a legal question, 15 Q. Well, a referral is a medical -- you're referring 15 what you'd be asking me now? I don't do that. I 16 to referral in a medical sense when you talk 16 have -- I can't do that. It's not my specialty. 17 17 about referring a patient to another doctor, Q. You only recommend patients to law firms when 18 18 correct? patients ask legal questions? 19 A. No --19 A. Yeah, legal comes up in probably a high majority 20 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 of patients coming to my office injured in car 21 A. -- I'm talking about the direct meaning of the 21 accidents, they have a lot of questions. Some I 22 word. I want to make sure we don't confuse the 22 can help them with, if they're medical related, 23 two words here. A referral means a direct -- a 23 but if they're legal related, I can't help them. 24 24 direct source of help for a patient. A Q. Okay. Do you deny that you treated the number of 25 recommendation is just a recommendation. Whether | 25 KNR clients that KNR says you treated as we just

2016-09-3	928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN	06/17/2019 20:16:00	PM	EXTO	Page 16 of 57
		77			79
1	went over?		1 4	. Oh, this here.	
2	MR. KEDIR: Objection.		2 (. And you'll see that that response	asks KNR to
3 A.	I can't deny or agree to it. I have no k	nowledge	3	produce all documents including,	but not limited
4	of it. I have I don't keep any tracking	ng l	4	to spreadsheets quantifying the r	number of
5	system in my office about who has an	attorney or	5	referrals to and from specific chir	opractors over
6	who has KNR or who has Eshelman or	who has Slater	6	time. And KNR provided this cha	rt for the years
7	or who has Elk & Elk, I have no idea.		7	2012 through 2017. And this wa	s produced in mid
8			В	September of 2017. So these nu	mbers for 2017 are
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 was m	arked :	9	not complete.	
10	for purposes of identification.)	10	0	So, according to KNR, there	were 440
11		11	1	referrals	
12	MR. KEDIR: You have no c	opies of 12	2	MR. PATTAKOS:	And, Jim, I can't
13	this?	1:	3	tell from this, maybe you	can help. Which
14	MR. PATTAKOS: I only hav	e two 14	1	is the to ASC from KNR ar	d vice versa?
15	copies of it, but I just want to refer	Dr. 15	5	MR. POPSON: Go	ood question. I
16	Floros to this chart.	16	6	don't know.	
17	MR. KEDIR: This is going t	o be 17	7 A	Is there a question?	
18	Exhibit 7?	18	18 Q. I'm waiting for		
19	MR. PATTAKOS: Exhibit 7,	yeah. 19) A	Oh, sorry.	
20	And if we look at this is request for	or 20) Q		bers mean.
21	production No. 3	21	ì	MR. REAGAN: He	doesn't know
22	MR. BARMEN: Peter, please	e, if 22	2	anyway, so I don't know w	hat the point is,
23	you're going to mark something, you	u've 23	}	but I would assume	
24	indicated before the last break that	you 2 4	ļ	MR. POPSON: We	e'll have to verify
25	would have copies made, if you're g	oing to 25	5	it to make sure. So this is	•
	-	78			80
1	mark it, I need to see it.	1		this is from KNR?	
2	MR. PATTAKOS: It's a disco	overy 2	!	MR. REAGAN: I b	elieve so.
3	response that's been produced in thi	s case 3	i	MR. PATTAKOS:	The top number is
4		4		from ASC?	
5	MR. BARMEN: It's documer	nt you're 5	;	MR. REAGAN: I b	elieve so.
6	marking as an exhibit in a deposition	n, it 6		MR. POPSON: Yea	ah, but we'll have
7	is incumbent upon you to provide co	pies to 7		to verify it. We'll just th	•
8	all counsel that are here.	8		assumption with looking at	
9	MR. PATTAKOS: If you wan	t to take 9		yeah, we should have put	
0	a look.	10		front of either one of them	
1	MR. KEDIR: Do you have co	opies of 11		but we'll try and verify for	•
2	it?	12		we can.	. ,,
3	MR. PATTAKOS: Had the wi	tness' 13		MR. PATTAKOS: I	would appreciate
4	testimony been different, I wouldn't			it. That's the	
5	marked this as an exhibit, okay?	15		MR. POPSON: He'	

		78	
1		mark it, I need to see it.	1
2		MR. PATTAKOS: It's a discovery	2
3		response that's been produced in this case	3
4			4
5		MR. BARMEN: It's document you're	5
6		marking as an exhibit in a deposition, it	6
7		is incumbent upon you to provide copies to	7
8		all counsel that are here.	8
9		MR. PATTAKOS: If you want to take	9
10		a look.	10
11		MR. KEDIR: Do you have copies of	11
12		it?	12
13		MR. PATTAKOS: Had the witness'	13
14		testimony been different, I wouldn't have	14
15		marked this as an exhibit, okay?	15
16		MR. BARMEN: And that's fine, but	16
17		you are marking it as an exhibit. I would	17
18		appreciate you providing me a copy.	18
19		MR. POPSON: Are you referring to	19
20		No. 23?	20
21		MR. PATTAKOS: I'm referring to	21
22		this chart here, yes, on No. 23.	22
23		BY MR. PATTAKOS:	23
24	A.	So what page is this on?	24
25	Q.	We're just going to look at No. 23.	25

	yeah, we should have put the word "from" in						
	front of either one of them, but we didn't,						
	but we'll try and verify for you today, if						
	we can.						
	MR. PATTAKOS: I would appreciate						
	it. That's the						
	MR. POPSON: He's not going to						
	know anyway apparently, so						
	MR. PATTAKOS: Well						
	MR. KEDIR: And I'm objecting to						
	the exhibit also.						
	BY MR. PATTAKOS:						
Q.	This is the second amended responses to the third						
	set of requests for production						
	MR. KEDIR: From KNR.						
	MR. POPSON: As soon as we take						
	the next break, I'll make a phone call to						

1 A. Yas, I am. 2 Q and it's No. 23. 3 MR. REAGAN: Second amended responses to what? 4 responses to what? 5 MR. REAGAN: What number? 6 MR. REAGAN: What number? 7 MR. REAGAN: What number? 8 MR. REAGAN: Thanks. 9 MR. REAGAN: Thanks. 10 BY MR. PATTAKOS: And it's No. 23. 9 MR. REAGAN: Thanks. 11 Q. Well, does it seem right to you thet you refer 12 that you would refer, roughly, twice as many 1 13 cases to KNR as they would refer to you? 14 MR. REDIR: Objection. 15 MR. POSON: Objection. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 17 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? 11 Live and probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the if this is accurate or not. 24 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. 25 Q. What's it based on mew patients. 26 Q. What's it based on? 27 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 17 Ver seen a lot of people in 15 years. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KR. KEDIR: Objection. 29 A. A. A again, it's an estimate. 30 A. Based on new patients. 41 A. Yas, I like I said, we met some time many, many MR. KEDIR: Objection. 42 A. Well, how did you become friends? 43 A. Well, like I said, we met some time many, many because ago. Probably — I don't know, 12 years ago maybe. I don't know, 12 years ago maybe. I don't know, 12 years ago maybe. I don't know, 20 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A. Hilke you too. 4 A. I like you too. 4 A. I like you too. 5 A. I like you too. 6 A. I like you too. 6 A. I like you too. 7 A. I like you too. 7 A. I like you too. 8 A. Nice in the patient of key for Mr. Patiakos. I have no idea? 9 A. I well, we have a lot A key. So no memory of how the relationship came to be or came to develop apart from or when years and to relationship. It's a friendship			81	T		83
MR. REAGAN: Second amended 4 responses to what? 5 MR. PATTAKOS: The third request 6 for production. 7 MR. REAGAN: What number? 8 MR. PATTAKOS: And it's No. 23. 9 MR. REAGAN: What number? 10 BY MR. PATTAKOS: And it's No. 23. 11 Q. Well, does it seem right to you that you refer— 12 that you would refer roughly, twice as many 13 cases to KNR as they would refer roughly, twice as many 14 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 15 MR. REDSON: Objection. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 17 Mr. PATTAKOS: Move 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? 19 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 21 a st oth 6— if this is accurate or not. 22 (Melt; you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 23 (Melt; you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 24 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 25 (Melt; you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 26 (Mere is no relationship. It's a friendship that we have, like I said, over the years. 27 (Melt, you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 28 (Melt; you have a lot of similar interests. 39 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A. I like you too. 4 A. I like you too. 5 (A. I like sports and I like food and I'm Greek.— 5 (A. Well, you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 6 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 7 (A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 8 A. A.—again, it's an astimate. 8 (A. Mell, ike Isald, were a lot of similar interests. 9 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 17 (Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that. 18 (A. No, there is no relationship. It's a friendship that we have, like I said, over the years. 19 (A. I well, you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 19 (A. I well, you do a lot of business with him, don't you? 20 (A. Welte, you	1			1	A.	
### MR. KEDIR: Objection. ### MR. KEDIR: Idon's and the well in the way. ### MR. KEDIR: Objection.	2	Q.	and it's No. 23.	2		-
4 A. Well, like I said, we met some time many, many years ago, Probably — I don't know, 12 years ago maybe. I don't know, 12 years ago. 4 A. He's from Canada and we like sports. We like for don. We're European descent, I'm Greek, he's tallies ago maybe. I don't know, we just get along. 5 A. I have absolutely no would refer to year? 5 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 6 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 7 A. I ike sports and I like food and I'm Greek.— 14 A. I like sports and I like food and I'm Greek, he's tallies ago maybe. I don't know, we just get along. 15 C. Ike sports and I like food and I'm Greek, he's tallies ago maybe. I don't know, we just get along. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that. 17 A. I like sports and I like food and I'm Greek.— 18 A. I like you too. 19 A. I like sports and I like food and I'm Greek.— 19 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injew like. 19 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injew like. 20 A. I treated probably over 20,00	3		MR. REAGAN: Second amended	3		
Second Production	4		responses to what?	4	A.	-
for production. MR. REAGAN: What number? MR. REAGAN: Thanks. MR. Mell, we have a lot of similar interests. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection. MR. P	5	5 MR. PATTAKOS: The third request		5		-
MR. PATTAKOS: And it's No. 23. MR. REAGAN: Thanks. BY MR. PATTAKOS: MR. REAGAN: Thanks. BY MR. PATTAKOS: MR. REAGAN: Thanks. MR. Well, we have a lot of similar interests. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. Mell, does it seem right to you that you refer—that you would refer to you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. PATTAKOS: MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. A I have absolutely no way of knowing that. MR. A I have absolutely no way of knowing that. MR. A I have absolutely no way of knowing that. MR. A Dokay. Just from your experience in dealing with hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR:	6	·		6		
MR. REAGAN: Thanks. 9	7		MR. REAGAN: What number?	7	Q.	And how did you become friends?
MR. REAGAN: Thanks. 9	8		MR. PATTAKOS: And it's No. 23.	8	A.	Well, we have a lot of similar interests.
11 Q. Well, does it seem right to you that you refer— 12 that you would refer, roughly, twice as many 13 cases to KNR as they would refer to you? 14 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that, 17 MR. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, 18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with 19 hundreds of these people over the years, you have 20 no idea? 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in 23 car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 24 as to the—if this accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 26 What's it based on new patients. 27 Q. Based on new patients. 28 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 29 A. I would— 30 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 31 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 42 A. I would— 43 C. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 44 A. A. —again, it's an astimate. 45 Q. What's it based on new patients. 46 A. Jasha and they office whether it be workers' 47 C. Based on new patients in what way? 48 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 49 A. A. Again, th's an astimate. 40 C. So based on the number of files you've seen? 41 G. So based on the number of files you've seen? 42 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 43 C. So based on the number of files you've seen? 44 C. So based on how patients that have come in, you ideide to do that? 40 C. Do you remember when you first started treating idea. 41 C. Do you remember when you first started treating idea. 42 C. No, I don't. 43 C. Do you remember when you first started treating idea. 44 C. No, I don't. 45 C. Over 20,000 people in 15 years. 46 C. Over 20,000 people in 15 years. 47 C. Based on new patients that have come in, you idea in the patient is mylated in work injure, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 46 C. Over 20,000 people in 15 years. 47 C. Based on new patients that have come in, you idea in the patient way in the patient way in the patient of the paperwork, they're brought to the consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 47 We talk about biomecha	9		MR. REAGAN: Thanks.	9		
11 Q. Well, does it seem right to you that you refer— 12 that you would refer, roughly, twice as many 13 cases to KNR as they would refer to you? 14 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 15 MR. POPSON: Objection. 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that, 17 MR. PAttakos. I have no way of knowing that, 18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with 19 hundreds of these people over the years, you have 20 no idea? 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in 23 car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 24 as to the—if this accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 26 What's it based on RK. KEDIR: Objection. 27 A. I would— 28 A. I would— 29 A. I would— 20 A. Based on new patients. 20 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 21 Q. Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? 26 A. Based on new patients in what way? 27 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 28 A. Based on new patients in what way? 39 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 30 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 31 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 31 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 32 G. So based on the number of files you've seen? 33 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 34 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 35 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 36 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating idea. 37 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 38 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 40 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 41 Like just and Ilke food and I'm Greek — 42 A. I the relationship came to be or came to develop apart from — 42 A. No, I don't. 41 A. I like you too. 41 A. I like you too. 42 A. No, I don't. 43 A. No, I don't. 44 A. I like you too. 44 A. No, I don't. 45 A. No, I don't. 46 A. No, I don't. 47 C. Based on new patients or not. 49 Q. Oo you remember when you first started treating identify the patient of the workers' many first started treating identify the patient of the workers' many first started treating identify the patient so for injury, how it happens. And then aga	10		BY MR. PATTAKOS:	10	A.	He's from Canada and we like sports. We like
that you would refer, roughly, twice as many cases to KNR as they would refer to you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection. MR. PATSAIROS. I have no way of knowing that. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? MR. KEDIR: Objection. A I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the — if this is accurate or not. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the — if this is accurate or not. A I would — MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patients in what way? MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Co you consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. Okay. So no memory of how the relationship came to be or came to develop apart from — MR. KEDIR: Objection. A I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in that we have, like I said, over the years. A Again, I have no idea. A Just based on new patients that have come in, you know you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? A I don't know. A I don't know.	11	Q.	Well, does it seem right to you that you refer	11		
acases to KNR as they would refer to you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection. A I have absolutely no way of knowing that, Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, MR. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, MR. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, MR. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, MR. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR	12			12		•
MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection. MR. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. MP. PATTAKOS. I have no way of knowing that, MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the — if this is accurate or not. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since MR. KEDIR: Objection. A I would — MR. KEDIR: Objection. A I would — MR. KEDIR: Objection. A A Just based on new patients. A A Just based on new patients in what way? MR. KEDIR: Objection. A A Based on new patients in what way? MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' of the comp — when a patient is injuried in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A A gain, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A A gain, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Copy over patients in what way? MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Copy when you recommend a law firm to — or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? A I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwook, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patients in juringe in work MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patients in juringe in work MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patients in juringe in work MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based on new patient is injuringe in work MR. KEDIR: Objection. A Just based	13		cases to KNR as they would refer to you?	13	Q.	
15 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that, 16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that, 17 Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that. 18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with 19 hundreds of these people over the years, you have 19 no idea? 20 no idea? 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in 23 carcidents since 2004. I would have no idea 24 as to the — if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 26 A. I would — 27 A. I would — 28 A. I would — 28 A. I would — 29 A. I would — 20 Q. Wall, you do a lot of business with him, don't 20 you what a lot means. I mean, it's — like I said, I 21 don't know. 22 A. I would — 23 A. Again, it's an estimate. 24 A. — again, it's an estimate. 25 Q. What's it based on? 26 A. Just based on new patients. 26 Q. What's it based on new patients. 27 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 28 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 29 A. Based on new patients in inhat way? 30 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 31 Ver seen a lot of people in 15 years. 32 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 33 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 34 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 35 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 36 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 37 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 38 Again, I have no idea. 39 A. Based on new patients in what way? 30 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 31 Again, that you recommend a law firm to — or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 39 A. Based on new patients hat have come in, you have recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 40 A. So based on the number of files you've seen? 41 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 42 A. Again, that's patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my times they'll tall me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 43 That's it. It. Rel I said, a patient comes into the office, they	14			14		•
16 A. I have absolutely no way of knowing that, 17 Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that, 18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with 19 hundreds of these people over the years, you have 20 no idea? 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in 23 car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea 24 as to the — if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 26 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 27 A. I would — 28 A. I would — 29 A. I would — 20 A. I would — 20 A. I would — 20 A. I would — 21 A. I would — 22 A. I would — 23 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 24 A. — again, it's an estimate. 25 Q. What's it based on new patients. 26 Q. What's it based on new patients in what way? 27 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 28 A. Based on new patients in what way? 29 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 A. Again, I have no idea. 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I don't know. 23 A. Again, I have no idea. 24 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 25 Q. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to — or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 29 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient cosay. I don't know what a lot means. I mean, it's — like I said, I don't know. 39 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 30 A. Again, I have no idea. 41 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 42 A. I don't know. 43 A gain, I have no idea. 44 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 45 A. I don't know. 46 A. Again, I have no idea. 46 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 47 I don't know. 48 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 48 A. Go, So based on the number of files you've seen? 49 A. I don't know. 40 A. Okay. When you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 40 C. Okay. When you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 41 C. Okay. When you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 42 A. I don't know. 43 A. I don't know. 44 A. I don't know. 45 A. J don't know. 46 A. Just based on new patients. 46 A. Again, hat's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 47 A. I don't know. 48 C. Okay.	15		MR. POPSON: Objection.	15		-
Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that. 17 apart from	16	A.	I have absolutely no way of knowing that,	16		
18 Q. Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? 20	17		Mr. Pattakos. I have no way of knowing that.	17		·
hundreds of these people over the years, you have no idea? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDI	18	Q.	Okay. Just from your experience in dealing with	18	A.	•
20 no idea? 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in 23 car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 82 1 2004? 2 A. I would 2 A. I would 2 A. I would 3 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 84 1 Q. Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? 84 1 Q. Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? 84 1 A again, it's an estimate. 85 1 G. What's it based on? 86 2 G. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you dedete to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 80 De you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 81 Again, that's just a proad estimate. 82 A I don't know. 83 Again, I have no idea. 84 Again, I have no idea. 84 Again, I have no idea. 85 A Just based on new patients in injuried in work 86 Q. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you dedete to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the pa	19		hundreds of these people over the years, you have	19		-
21 A. I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 82	20		no idea?	20	Q.	
23 car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea as to the — if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 82 1 2004? 26 A. I would — 27 A. I would — 28 A. I would — 29 A. I would — 30 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A. — again, it's an estimate. 4 A. — again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp — when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 12 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 13 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 14 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 I would — 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 18 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 29 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 20 Q. You don't remember how you met? 20 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 21 A. It's — again, it's hard to say. I don't know what a lot means. I mean, it's — like I said, I don't know. 24 A. I don't know. 25 A. Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? 3 A. Again, I have no idea. 4 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 5 A. I don't know. 6 Q. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to — or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 4 A. Gon't Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 4 C. Do you remember when you first started treatin	21		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	21		,
24 as to the if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 82 1 2004? 2 A. I would 3 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 6 Q. What's it based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 10 comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 17 Ve seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 Ve seen a lot of people in 15 years. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 19 KNR clients? 19 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 20 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 21 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or	22	A.	I treated probably over 20,000 people injured in	22		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
24 as to the if this is accurate or not. 25 Q. Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since 82 2004? 2 A. I would 3 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 10 comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 17 Ye seen a lot of people in 15 years. 18 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 19 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 10 A Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 11 I would 12 would omore business as a chiropractor than KNR? 12 A. Again, I have no idea. 14 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 10 ye on when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 11 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 12 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 13 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 14 A. Just based on new patients that have come in, you decide to do that? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 17 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me — sometimes they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 18 C. Do you remember when you first started treating they is they little law, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 17 That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the	23		car accidents since 2004. I would have no idea	23	A.	It's again, it's hard to say. I don't know
82 don't know. 84 1 2004? 2 A. I would 3 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 4 A again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 6 Q. Wat's it based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 10 comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 AI don't know. 10 don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 14 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me questions. Or many idea. 15 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 16 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 17 Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 16 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. 14 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 15 A. I don't know. 16 A. Just based on new patients. 16 A. Just based on new patients. 17 C. Do you remember how you met? 28 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 29 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 20 A. You don't remember how you met? 21 A. No, I don't. Objection. 22 A. No, I don't. Objection. 23 A.	24		as to the if this is accurate or not.	24		
1 Q. Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? A. I would MR. KEDIR: Objection. A again, it's an estimate. A. Just based on new patients. A. Just based on new patients. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A. Based on new patients in what way? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. KEDIR: Objection	25	Q.	Over 20,000 people injured in car accidents since	25		don't know.
2 you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR? 3			82			84
MR. KEDIR: Objection. A again, it's an estimate. Q. What's it based on? A. Just based on new patients. A. Based on new patients in what way? A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. J've seen a lot of people in 15 years. A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. A. Again, I have no idea. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A. I don't know. A. I don't like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me sometimes they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. A. No, I don't.	1		2004?	1	Q.	Are there any other law firms or lawyers for whom
4 A again, it's an estimate. 5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp when a patient is injured in work 12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. You don't remember how you met? 22 A. No, I don't. 24 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or	2	A.	I would	2		you do more business as a chiropractor than KNR?
5 Q. What's it based on? 6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 10 comp when a patient is injured in work 11 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 12 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 I don't know. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 20 A. No, I don't. 21 I don't know. 22 A. No, I don't tremember how you met? 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 25 A. I don't know. 26 Q. Okay. When you recommend a law firm to or when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you decide to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 29 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 20 A. No, I don't. I	3		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	3	A.	Again, I have no idea.
6 A. Just based on new patients. 7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 12 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 10 A. No, I don't. 11 brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 18 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me sometimes they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 19 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 20 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 21 A. No, I don't. 22 A. No, I don't. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 Co okay. When you recommend a law firm to or when you decide to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're office, they fill out their paperwork, they're office, they fill out their paperwork and they're office, they fill out their paperwork, they're office, they fill out their paperwork and ecide to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're office, they fill out their paperwork and they're office, they fill out their paperwork and they're office, they fill out their paperw	4			4		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
7 Q. Based on new patients in what way? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you 10 know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp when a patient is injured in work 12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 10 office, they fill out their paperwork, they're 10 office, they fill out their paperwork, they're 11 brought to the consultation room, I do my 12 consultation, my exam. We review the police 13 report with the patient. We talk about impact. 14 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it 15 happens. And then again, they'll ask me 16 sometimes they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 17 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 18 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 19 KNR clients? 19 sure you get all the records over to them. 20 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 21 That's it. And if they have legal questions and 22 they ask me for recommendations, I provide them 23 Provident them in the interpolation of multiple law firms in the 24 A. No, I don't. 25 Akron, Cleveland area. 26 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or	5	Q.	What's it based on?	5	A.	I don't know.
MR. KEDIR: Objection. A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' comp when a patient is injured in work injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. Live seen a lot of people in 15 years. MR. KEDIR: Objection. A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? A. No, I don't. MR. KEDIR: Objection. B. decide to do that? 9 A. I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. A. No, I don't. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. You don't remember how you met? A. No, I don't. So whenever a patient comes into the office, they fill out their paperwork, they're brought to the consultation room, I do my consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. A. No, I don't.	6	A.	Just based on new patients.	6	Q.	Okay. When you recommend a law firm to or
9 A. Based on new patients that have come in, you know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp when a patient is injured in work 12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 10 office, they fill out their paperwork, they're 10 office, they fill out their paperwork, they're 11 brought to the consultation room, I do my 12 consultation, my exam. We review the police 13 report with the patient. We talk about impact. 14 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it 15 happens. And then again, they'll ask me 16 sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many 17 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 18 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 19 sure you get all the records over to them. 19 That's it. And if they have legal questions and 20 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 21 they ask me for recommendations, I provide them 22 recommendations of multiple law firms in the 23 Akron, Cleveland area. 24 A. No, I don't.	7	Q.	Based on new patients in what way?	7		when you recommend a patient to KNR, how do you
10 know, into my office whether it be workers' 11 comp when a patient is injured in work 12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 10 office, they fill out their paperwork, they're 11 brought to the consultation room, I do my 12 consultation, my exam. We review the police 13 report with the patient. We talk about impact. 14 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it 15 happens. And then again, they'll ask me 16 sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many 17 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 18 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 19 sure you get all the records over to them. 19 That's it. And if they have legal questions and 20 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 21 they ask me for recommendations, I provide them 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 Os whenever a patient has a legal question or	8		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	8		decide to do that?
11 comp when a patient is injured in work 12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 Do you remember how you met? 27 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or	9	A.	Based on new patients that have come in, you	9	A.	I don't. Like I said, a patient comes into the
12 injuries, auto accidents, back pain, neck pain. 13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 19 Sure you get all the records over to them. 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 Consultation, my exam. We review the police report with the patient. We talk about impact. 27 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me - 28 Sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make they aure you get all the records over to them. 28 That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. 29 Akron, Cleveland area. 20 So whenever a patient has a legal question or	10		know, into my office whether it be workers'	10		office, they fill out their paperwork, they're
13 I've seen a lot of people in 15 years. 14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 19 A. No, I don't. 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 You don't. 27 In the patient. We talk about impact. 28 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it 29 happens. And then again, they'll ask me 29 sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many 29 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 29 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 20 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 20 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 21 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 22 sure you get all the records over to them. 23 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 24 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 25 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 26 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 27 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 28 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 29 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 20 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 20 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 21 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 22 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 23 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 24 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 25 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 26 attorney, here's my attorney name, just make 27 attorney, here's my attorney atto	11			11		brought to the consultation room, I do my
14 Q. So based on the number of files you've seen? 15 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 19 KNR clients? 10 A. No, I don't. 10 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 10 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 11 We talk about biomechanics of injury, how it happens. And then again, they'll ask me — 18 sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. 19 That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or						consultation, my exam. We review the police
MR. KEDIR: Objection. A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? A. No, I don't. No, I don't. No, I don't. It was many years ago. MR. KEDIR: Objection. happens. And then again, they'll ask me — sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make sure you get all the records over to them. That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. A. No, I don't. A. No, I don't. So whenever a patient has a legal question or				13		report with the patient. We talk about impact.
16 A. Again, that's just a broad estimate. I have no idea. 17 idea. 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating KNR clients? 19 KNR clients? 20 A. No, I don't. 20 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 So whenever a patient has a legal question or		Q.	So based on the number of files you've seen?	14		
17 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 19 sure you get all the records over to them. 20 A. No, I don't. 20 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 26 times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an 27 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 28 sure you get all the records over to them. 29 That's it. And if they have legal questions and 20 they ask me for recommendations, I provide them 20 recommendations of multiple law firms in the 21 Akron, Cleveland area. 22 Akron, Cleveland area. 23 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or			-			
18 Q. Do you remember when you first started treating 19 KNR clients? 19 A. No, I don't. 20 A. No, I don't. 21 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 28 attorney, here's my attorney's name, just make 29 sure you get all the records over to them. 20 That's it. And if they have legal questions and 21 they ask me for recommendations, I provide them 22 recommendations of multiple law firms in the 23 Akron, Cleveland area. 24 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or		A.				sometimes they'll ask me questions. Or many
19 KNR clients? A. No, I don't. 20 A. No, I don't. 20 Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? 21 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 25 So whenever a patient has a legal question or				1		times they'll tell me, hey, doc, I have an
20 A. No, I don't. Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. Q. You don't remember how you met? A. No, I don't. 20 That's it. And if they have legal questions and they ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. 23 A. No, I don't. 24 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or		Q.	-	l		
 Q. Do you remember when you first met Rob Nestico? A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. Q. You don't remember how you met? A. No, I don't. They ask me for recommendations, I provide them recommendations of multiple law firms in the Akron, Cleveland area. Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or 				l		
22 A. No, I don't. It was many years ago. 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 25 recommendations of multiple law firms in the 26 Akron, Cleveland area. 27 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or			•	l		
 23 Q. You don't remember how you met? 24 A. No, I don't. 23 Akron, Cleveland area. 24 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or 	21					
24 A. No, I don't. 24 Q. So whenever a patient has a legal question or	22					
,	23		-			
25 Q. You're friends with Rob Nestico, aren't you? 25 asks for a recommendation			-			
21 of 96 sheets Page 81 to 84 of 262 04/04/2019 04:17:34 PM	15	Q.	You're friends with Rob Nestico, aren't you?	25		asks for a recommendation

85 87 1 A. Yes. 1 A. There are a lot more than seven. I remember 2 Q. -- you will recommend multiple law firms? 2 doing the discovery and I just -- I can't 3 3 A. Correct. remember in 15 years how many attorneys I've Q. Why multiple? 4 recommended. It's way more than seven. A. Because there's a lot of good attorneys out there 5 Q. Okay. Well, so you listed KNR, Slater & Zurz --6 and I don't -- I don't have no preference on a 6 A. Uh-huh. 7 specific law firm. I don't -- I prefer my 7 Q. -- Gary Himmel --8 patients be represented by attorneys in these A. Yep. 9 situations because I've seen patients been taken Q. -- Alberto Pena --10 advantage of many, many times by insurance 10 A. Yep. 11 companies, so my preference is for them to be 11 Q. -- Elk & Elk --12 represented by somebody. Who they choose to be 12 A. Yes. 13 represented by though it doesn't matter to me, I 13 Q. -- Amourgis & Associates --14 don't really care. 14 A. Uh-huh. 15 Q. You agree there's no short -- sorry. Go ahead. 15 Q. -- and Skolnick Weiser? 16 A. And in terms of the clients in question here, Ms. 16 A. Correct. 17 Reid and Ms. Norris, I didn't recommend or refer, 17 Q. Who else? 18 to use your word, to any law firm. 18 A. On 15 years? 19 Q. You agree there's no shortage of good personal 19 Q. Yes. 20 injury lawyers in --20 A. Oh, there was Thomas Magliner [phonetic], there 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 21 was Westfield, there was Dyer up in Kent. 22 Q. -- northeast Ohio? 22 There's been Lisa Haywood -- geez, there's been a 23 A. Oh, I would have no knowledge, but I would say 23 lot. I don't remember. 24 there's probably plenty other good ones. 24 Q. And you can't say whether you do more business 25 Q. What are your criteria for choosing which law 25 with one of these firms than any other? 88 1 firms you would recommend to your patients? 1 A. No, it's hard to say. 2 MR. KEDIR: Objection. Q. And you can't even estimate? 3 A. I have no criteria. It doesn't matter. Like I 3 A. No. 4 said, Peter, it doesn't matter who the attorney 4 Q. How do you decide when a car accident victim with 5 is, there's no criteria. You know, there have soft-tissue injury doesn't need treatment 6 been attorneys that have come into my office, you 6 anymore? know, soliciting business, give me business 7 7 A. We assess their pain levels. We look at range of 8 cards, and I utilize them. 8 motion. Palpatory findings. Essentially see 9 Q. Do you follow-up to check on whether those 9 where their pain level is at compared to day one. 10 attorneys are any good? 10 See how their injury is affecting their 11 A. No. I mean, I ask my patients. Through 11 activities of daily living. See if they're back 12 interaction with my patients on a day-to-day 12 to work. See if they're functional. If they're 13 basis like they'll -- they'll tell me, hey, this 13 able to raise their kids, for example or if 14 attorney sucks. Why isn't he calling me back? 14 they're able to go for a walk. 15 Well, I don't know. Call the paralegal, talk to 15 It's a subjective and objective thing as to 16 the assistant. And many times a patient gets 16 when I decide the patient is to be released. 17 extremely frustrated or multiple patients and 17 Every patient is different and every patient is 18 they fire their attorney or they get another 18 treated differently. 19 attorney. So I hear it. You know, like I said, 19 Though we do whatever is in the best interest 20 I've treated many patients injured in car 20 of the patient to get them back to pre-accident 21 accidents and I've heard it, I've heard 21 condition. Many times the patient is well when 22 everything. 22 they're released and many times they're not.

clients to.

Q. You identified seven law firms in your discovery

response as firms that you will recommend your

23

24

25

23

24

25 A. Correct.

Q. You refer your personal injury clients to Dr.

Ghoubrial, correct?

80 91 1 Q. And what do you do that for? 1 doctor, I try to reach out to the doctor and see 2 A. They're injured --2 if he's willing to accept my patients or to see Q. Uh-huh. 3 patients injured in car accidents or work 4 A. -- they got high inflammatory levels. The 4 injuries, and again, its extremely difficult to 5 patient advised me that their medication ran out 5 find medical doctors that will treat patients 6 from the hospital, they can't sleep, they're in 6 injured in car accidents, especially in my area. 7 high levels of pain. They hurt more when they're 7 Q. In Akron? 8 working. It helps me get the patient better 8 A. Yeah. I'll send them anywhere. I'll send them faster. I'm not a medical doctor. I can't 9 9 up to Cleveland, Medina, Fairlawn, Kent, 10 prescribe the medication, so, yeah, I refer a lot 10 Canton -- they've been down to Canton --11 of patients to Dr. Ghoubrial, he's a great 11 Massillon. Anywhere. 12 12 Q. Dr. Ghoubrial will sometimes treat patients at 13 Q. Are there other doctors that you send your 13 your office, correct? 14 patients to for similar treatment? 14 A. Yes. 15 A. Oh, yeah. In the last 15 years I've worked with 15 Q. So he'll set up a number of appointments on any 16 many doctors. 16 given day and will come there and treat a number 17 Q. Who else besides Dr. Ghoubrial would provide 17 of patients on a particular day? 18 similar services? 18 A. Yeah, that's more recent. Again, I've been 19 A. Dr. Soni --19 referring patients to Dr. Ghoubrial for many 20 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 years. Him coming to the office is more of a 21 MR. POPSON: Objection. 21 recent thing. I don't remember when he started 22 A. -- was one of the orthopedic surgeons I referred 22 but he used to -- I used to refer patients out to 23 patients to for pain management. 23 Wadsworth, but it was difficult for the patients 24 Q. Dr. Soni? 24 to get there. A lot of patients don't have money 25 A. Dr. Soni. 25 for gas. A lot of patients have not 90 92 1 Q. S-o-n-i? 1 transportation. And he set up an office 2 A. Yes. Comprehensive Pain Management is another 2 somewhere in Akron --3 place I refer many patients to --Q. On Brown Street? A. -- made it easier for my patients -- I think it 4 Q. That's the Lababidi's? 4 5 A. Yes. And they have -- they have a lot of pain 5 was Brown Street -- made it a lot easier for my 6 management specialists there. Center of Neuro & 6 patients to get to. But I think ultimately it's 7 Spine is another place I refer patients to. Dr. 7 better that he comes to my office. 8 Chonko, Dr. Tharp, Dr. Pinkowski. There was Dr. 8 Q. And why is that? 9 Pogorelec back in the day that would see my 9 A. Just easier. It's just easier. The patients 10 patients. 10 don't have to go anywhere. Again, my patients 11 11 don't have the finances to go -- put gas in their I'm willing to refer my patients to anybody 12 who accepts patients injured in car accidents and 12 car to go get an MRI. The place I refer for MRI 13 sometimes that's very difficult to find. 13 up in Medina, they provide transportation to my 14 Q. How did you meet Dr. Ghoubrial? patients. My patients can't get up there. 14 15 A. I don't remember. 15 Q. Do any other doctors come treat patients at your 16 Q. Well, how did you come to first send your 16 office? 17 patients to him? 17 A. No. Actually, I should -- I'm sorry, just to go 18 A. He may have been a mutual treatment with one of 18 back. There are a few other doctors that have 19 the pain management facilities that have treated 19 come to my office over the last 15 years to treat 20 my patients. That's probably where I first saw 20 patients. Recently, no. 21 his name pop up. 21 Q. Okay. Who are those doctors that have come to 22 Again, I'm willing to work with any medical 22 your office? 23 doctor, any physician, that's willing to take on 23 A. Dr. Soni was one of the doctors that would come

24

25

24

25

to my office a few times. Again, he was located

down in -- close to the downtown Canton area.

my patients. And I get new ones or once in a

while I see a report of a patient with a medical

EXTO

1 And it just got difficult for patients to see him 1 to do whatever is possible. Whatever is best for 2 down there so he would come once in a while, once 2 the patient. If they ask me to bill an insurance 3 3 a month to see patients in my office. This is company, I would do it. 4 many years ago. Dr. Gunning is another physician 4 Q. Why don't you affiliate with a network? 5 that's come into the office to treat patients --5 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 6 Q. Dr. Gunning? 6 A. I just haven't. I don't know. I don't know how 7 7 A. Dr. Gunning. 8 Q. Well, he works for Dr. Ghoubrial, correct? 8 Q. Have you ever asked anybody at your company to do 9 A. Yes. But I'm giving you specific names of 9 -- to look into that? 10 doctors. And those are a couple other 10 A. No. There was a network called Coventry Health 11 physicians. Dr. Wynn. Dr. -- I forgot the other 11 Network that somehow I got affiliated with. 12 gentleman's name. There's been a couple other 12 Somebody filled out a document and we were 13 ones. 13 affiliated with them. And the insurance 14 Q. Dr. Wynn? 14 companies would run our billing through Coventry 15 A. Yeah. 15 Health Network, but I don't know if they'd review Q. W-y-n-n? 16 16 the bills and say, hey, it's an auto accident 17 A. Correct. 17 case, we're not paying. Or an insurance company 18 Q. What kind of doctor is Dr. Wynn? 18 would run it through that network and say, hey, 19 A. I think she's just a medical doctor. She may be we're only paying, you know, 70 percent of the 20 part of the Dr. Ghoubrial group. I'm not too 20 bill, if they're not represented by an attorney. 21 sure. 21 So it just varies patient to patient. **22 Q.** Okay. 22 Q. You treat many of your patients on a letter of 23 A. And just to go on record, I would welcome any 23 protection, correct? 24 physician that's willing to come to my office to 24 A. Yes. 25 see any patient. I wish there was one there 25 Q. And why do you do that? 94 1 every day. 1 A. Just to get -- I don't really get involved with 2 Q. So you typically do not accept health insurance 2 the paperwork. A letter of protection, from what 3 payments from a patient who is involved in 3 I'm made aware of, it just protects to patient, 4 4 litigation, correct? the patient's bill, to ensure that we get payment 5 MR. POPSON: Objection. 5 somehow. 6 A. I'll accept any forms of payment. It doesn't --Q. How does that protect the patient? 6 7 I accept Med Pay. Any time they've asked me to 7 MR. KEDIR: Objection. bill their out of network -- I'm out of network 8 8 A. I don't know. I guess they want their bills to 9 with all insurance companies, many times they've 9 be paid. That's my understanding of it. 10 asked me to bill their health insurance 10 Q. The patients want their bills to get paid? 11 companies. We've done it, we don't get paid by 11 A. Oh, yeah. Patients main concern when they come 12 them. We're out of network, so I'm not in 12 into our office is who's going to pay this bill? 13 network with anybody, but many times some 13 Like, am I going to be left with any bills here? 14 14 providers will accept bills and records from us That's their main concern. So a letter of 15 and then if the patient -- and again, I'm sorry, 15 protection protects, I guess, myself, my bill, 16 I don't know all the terminology in the medical 16 and the patient from not owing any money when the 17 17 insurance worlds. case settles. Again, whether the patient has an 18 Many times they haven't met their deductible. 18 attorney or not, it's the same thing, they want 19 19 Many times, like I said, I'm out of network, they to have protection, we want to have protection. 20 20 And most physicians who treat patients injured in don't even consider my billing. The same goes 21 for MRI facilities. Like they need a 21 auto accidents including facilities that do MRIs 22 22 preauthorization of some kind to get an MRI and, and specialists and surgeons, they all want 23 again, if they're not represented by a law firm, 23 letter of protections. It's not just my office 24 24 their personal health insurance will just deny that wants a letter of protection in a patient 25 it. The patient can't get an MRI. I try my best 25 injured in a motor vehicle accident.

EXTO

99 1 We also have patients who are involved in 1 Q. If they don't sign the letter of protection, you 2 2 work injuries sign letter of protections to make will treat them anyway? 3 sure that the Bureau of Workers' Compensation 3 A. I will treat every single patient --4 pays the bill as well. 4 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 5 Q. You wouldn't need a letter of protection if the 5 A. -- that comes into my office. Peter, many times 6 patient's health insurance was on the hook for 6 a patient refuses to sign forms. I don't -- I 7 7 don't care. I'm just an employee of Akron Square the treatment, correct? 8 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 8 Chiropractic. I like getting patients well. If 9 A. Oh, we would -- I would always have the patient 9 they sign a letter of protection, if they don't, 10 sign it. Again, Peter, I've talked to many 10 it doesn't make any difference to me. I will 11 patients, Med Pays of their insurance policies, 11 treat them, I will give them the best possible 12 adjusters that work at these insurance companies, 12 care I can give them. And if we get paid on it, 13 they won't consider our bill, they won't pay the 13 great, and if we don't, hey, it happens, what are 14 bill. They'll say go to the patient, we're not 14 you going to do? Mr. Carter, who you just 15 looking at it. 15 presented me a bill, has never paid his bill from 16 Q. And why don't they pay your bill? 16 2015. Maybe he didn't sign his letter of 17 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 17 protection. What am I going to do? He's 18 A. They just refuse to because it's in an auto 18 injured, I'm going to treat him and we move on. 19 accident case. You know, an insurance adjuster 19 Q. You've never sued any of your patients for not 20 20 had told me in the past, we only pay for patients paying a bill, have you? 21 in a motor vehicle accident who are injured that 21 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 22 went to the ER the first day. Anything after the 22 A. Oh, I don't know. I haven't sued anybody 23 first day, they're not paying the bills. It's a 23 personally. I don't know if Akron Square 24 common thread, it's a common thing. I don't know 24 Chiropractic has. I have no idea, I don't know 25 25 why that happens, I don't know why they don't pay what the billing does. 100 1 the bill, but they just don't. 1 Q. You're not aware of any instance where that's 2 2 Q. So you say you always have your patients sign the happened, are you? 3 A. No, I wouldn't know. I would have no idea. I letter of protection? 3 4 A. Correct. The paperwork is pretty standard in our 4 would prefer not to sue any patients. 5 office. Like even this paper that you gave me 5 Q. So if a client comes to Akron Square with from Thera Reid, you know, Monique Norris may 6 6 injuries and wants to pay using his own health 7 7 have -- may have received the same document -insurance, you will accept that form of payment 8 where is it? Exhibit number -- I'm sorry --8 if -- I mean, do you have a process where your 9 Exhibit No. 6, Monique Norris may have been given 9 staff will call the insurance company -- strike 10 10 the same document. She may have signed it. that. 11 After reviewing the file I saw that KNR had 11 MR. POPSON: You saw me, didn't 12 referred me Monique Norris. She wasn't contacted 12 you? 13 by anybody, but she probably would have received 13 MR. PATTAKOS: I could feel you. 14 it because the stack of papers that my staff 14 Q. If a client comes to your office with injuries 15 gives to the patients and many times they'll sign 15 and wants to pay using their own health 16 it, many times they won't and that's it. So a 16 insurance, do you have a process by which your 17 letter of protection is just a standard paperwork 17 office will contact the insurance company to see 18 in my file. 18 if they will pay? 19 Q. So you have all your clients sign letters of 19 MR. KEDIR: Objection. 20 protection? 20 A. No, we don't have a process, but I can tell you 21 A. I don't have anybody sign anything. They're just 21 if a patient comes to our office and is 22 22 part of my file. Like they're just a bunch of represented by an attorney, they will instruct us 23 documents that a patient will sign. Do you have 23 when they're done with therapy, hey, make sure my 24 an example of a letter of protection so I can see 24 attorney gets the bills and the records as soon 25 it? 25

as you can.

101 103 If the patient doesn't have an attorney, then 1 1 Q. Let's talk about the narrative reports. 2 we ask them, do you have Med Pay on your policy? 2 A. Okav. 3 Q. When did you first start making the narrative Who's going to pay the bill? Have you talked to 4 a third-party insurance company. Do you have 4 reports? 5 health insurance? I'll ask them on their exit 5 A. Oh, I don't remember when they started being 6 6 day to see if they have anything. And if they requested from me. I've been typing narrative 7 do, they provide it to us and then we send it out 7 reports since my first month in practice. 8 to the billing office and then they do whatever 8 Q. Let me understand that answer, you said, I don't 9 they do with it. Whether or not I get paid or 9 remember when they first started requesting them 10 10 not, I have no idea. I'll tell you this, nine from me. I've been typing them since I first 11 times out of ten, I probably won't get paid on 11 came to practice? 12 A. Yeah. So, to go back, I've been typing 12 that case. Q. If the client wants to be -- wants to pay using 13 13 narratives since I started practicing. Probably 14 their health insurance, you won't get paid on the 14 the first month after I started practicing at 15 15 case, is that what you're saying? Akron Square Chiropractic. 16 A. I'm out of network. Most of the times they will Q. So attorneys were requesting them from you since 16 17 not pay us. 17 then? 18 Q. Okav. 18 A. Correct. 19 A. Sometimes they do, actually sometimes they'll pay 19 Q. And when did you start charging separately for 20 us, but mainly the -- my experience with this --20 that? 21 and I don't have much experience with this, the 21 A. I haven't charged separate. My fee was initially 22 patient's health care insurance will review the 22 \$200 for a narrative. That's what it was when I 23 documents, see that it's a motor vehicle accident 23 first started out. 24 24 Q. And is that what it is now? and say, hey, follow up with a third-party payer, 25 here's the information, State Farm, claim number, 25 A. I get paid anywhere between 150 and 200 for the 104 1 date of accident, adjuster, phone number, facts, 1 narrative. It should be a lot more, just saying. 2 have a nice day. They won't pay it. 2 Q. Why should it be a lot more? 3 I don't know if there's a law in Ohio that 3 A. I spend a lot of time. A lot of weekend time, a 4 restricts insurance companies from paying bills 4 lot of evening time. Time that I should be 5 with patients in auto accidents but that's my 5 spending with my kids spending -- looking over 6 experience with it. 6 records and preparing narratives. 7 Q. And what about Medicare or Medicaid, are you an 7 Q. How long does it take you to prepare one? 8 A. Oh, it varies. You know, just looking at the two approved provider for them? 8 9 A. I'm out of network with everybody. 9 patients here, Ms. Reid's hours, hour and half, 10 Q. Okay. So you're not an approved provider for 10 two hours to review everything. Ms. Reid -- or 11 Medicare or Medicaid? 11 Ms. Norris -- I'm sorry -- probably less because 12 A. Correct. I'm out of network with every insurance 12 I only treated her four or five times. 13 Q. So it depends on the records that you would have company. 13 14 MR. PATTAKOS: Why don't we take a 14 to ao through? 15 break. 15 A. Yeah. Like Ms. Reid's I had to review over a 16 16 hundred documents before I prepared the THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off 17 the record. This is the end of Tape No. 1. 17 narrative. And I had to make a pretty good -- or 18 The time is 11:15. 18 try to make the best estimation that I could make 19 - - - -19 for future medical care. Because, again, Ms. 20 (Thereupon, a recess was had.) 20 Reid was ejected off a motorcycle in an accident. 21 21 It's very different than Ms. Reid -- Ms. Norris, THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on 22 22 I'm sorry -- so definitely hers took a little bit 23 the record. This is the beginning of Tape 23 longer just to make these -- cause -- you know, 24 No. 2. The time is 11:25. 24 future medical expense predictions. 25 BY MR. PATTAKOS: 25 Q. It's a range of time you would spend on the

105 1 narrative reports; is it fair to say anywhere between half an hour to two hours for each one? 2 A. Sometimes can be more than two hours. Again, it's the reviewing of the documents that come up with the prognosis and the future medical expense cost. It can take as minutes, it can take as more than three hours in tyute depends. 9 Q. Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than three hours in tyute depends. 10 Q. Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you h				_		
2 A. Sometimes can be more than two hours. Again, 18'the reviewing of the documents that come up 5 with the prognosis and the future medical expense 6 cost. It can take sometimes, you know, 30 7 minutes, it can take 45 minutes, it can take two 8 and a half hours, three hours, it just depends. 9 C. Okay. It snot typical for it to take more than 10 three hours though? 11 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 12 Q. Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you 13 have? 14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three—my daughter is three and a half, my son 17 is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. Nay. Your kids are in Canada? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Sveryone is in Canada? 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the 25 weekends in Canada? 26 Q. Okay. 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and 28 And half the week. It just changes week to 39 weeke. 30 Q. Okay. 30 Q. And you're any irreating 31 pablish at Akron Square for half the week? 31 A. Wool week. 32 Q. Okay. 31 A patricular in the week, Sometimes and half, the week in Canada? 32 Q. Okay. 34 A veah. 35 A patricular in the week, Sometimes and half the week here and half, the week in Canada? 46 Q. Okay. 47 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week in Canada? 48 Q. Okay. 49 A. No. Now weekends in Canada? 40 Q. Okay. 40 Q. Okay. 41 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 42 weeke. 43 Q. Okay. 41 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 42 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 43 A. I kear you. 44 A. Tree. 45 A. I hear you. 46 A. I hear you. 47 A. I hear you. 48 A. And that's recent, that's just changes week to 49 weeke. 40 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 41 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 42 A. I hear you. 43 A. I hear you. 44 A. Tree. 45 A. I hear you. 46 A. I hear you. 47 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 48 A. I hear you. 49 A. I hear you. 40 A. Now my father started, you know 40 A half the week	١.			١.		
3 A. Sometimes can be more than two hours. Again, it's the reviewing of the documents that come up with the prognosis and the future medical expense cost. It can take sometimes, you know, 30 mimutes, it can take 45 minutes, it can take 45 minutes						
1				2		
swith the prognosis and the future medical expense cost. It can take sometimes, you know, 30 minutes, it can take two and a half hours, three hours, it just depends. 9 C. Okay. It sn citypical for it to take more than three hours though? 10 Lyually not, I would say usually not. 11 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 12 C. Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you have? 13 have? 14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three — my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone Is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 A. No. Now, it's you spend the week here and half the week there. 26 Q. Okay. So you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week to week. Sometimes early in the week. Sometimes armiddle of the week. It just changes week to week. 26 Weah. 27 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 28 A. Wou, It's you spend the week. Sometimes armiddle of the week. It just changes week to week. 29 Week. 29 Q. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week there. 29 Q. Since the kids were born? 20 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three week, sometimes armide of the week. It just changes week to week. 29 Q. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 29 Q. Since the kids were born? 20 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three week, sometimes armide of the week. It just changes week to week. 29 Q. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 29 Q. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week. 29 Q. In all the week week seems and half the week week pread in linjury claims. For these reasons attorneys often response too, so 20 Q. So you're onl	3	A.	Sometimes can be more than two hours. Again,	3	Q.	. All right. So in your discovery responses, in
6 You say a narrative report provides a synopsis of a patient's experience with his doctor, so that a name of the hours, it just depends. 9 Q. Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than the hours though? 11 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 12 Q. Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you had have asked: How many kids do you is stwo. 14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 17 is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common faw in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdads in Canada? 25 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 26 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdads in Canada? 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes are in the week, sometimes are in the week, sometimes are in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes are in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes are in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes are in the week, sometimes are put the week in the week in the week in the week in the week, sometimes are in the week in the report in the report provides the chient's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractic probability. The narrative report proves the chiropractor's expert opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the futu	4		it's the reviewing of the documents that come up	4		your responses to an interrogatory you describe a
minutes, it can take 45 minutes, it can take two and a half hours, three hours, it just depends. Q. Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than three hours though? Lousily not, I would say usually not. Lousily not I would say usually not. Lousily not, I would say usually not. Lousily not, I would say usually not. Lousily not I would say. Lousily not I would say. Lousily not I would not say. Lousily not I would say. Lousily not I would n	5		with the prognosis and the future medical expense			narrative report and I'll read your description.
and a half hours, three hours, it just depends. Q. Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than three hours though? A Usually not, I would say usually not. A Two. The narrative report relates the client's injury claims. For these resons at compactor's expert medical opinion on causation. The narrative report relates the client's injury claims. For these resons at compact of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on causation. The narrative report relates the client's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on causation. The narrative report relates the client's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on causation. The narrative report relates the client's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on causation. The narrative report relates the client's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on causation. A Yeah. A Veah. No. Common law in Canada. A Veah. No. Common law in Canada. Cokay. Your kids are in Canada? A Seyova spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdays here and half the week here and half the week there and half the week there and half the week here and half the week there and half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractor's expert medical opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. The narrative report provides the chiropractor's expert opinion. The narrative report provides citations to published reports their state support opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. The narrative report provides citations to published reports their state and the accident wa	6		cost. It can take sometimes, you know, 30			You say a narrative report provides a synopsis of
9 Q. Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than three hours though? 1 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 1 A. Two. 1 A. Tartier and a half, my son is two. 1 The narrative report provides the cilent's impression of causation. 1 The narrative report probability. The narrative report provides in this a degree of reasonable chiropractic probability. The narrative report proves the chiropractor's expert opinion on wath treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. 2 And you're married? 2 A. No. Common law in Canada. 2 A. Everyone is in Canada? 2 B. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week here and half the week there. 3 C. So you're only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes are in indeed of the week, sometimes early in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 3 C. Okay. 3 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 4 A. And your wife lives in Canada? 5 A. Orect. 6 Q. What's your wife's name? 7 A. Lucy. 7 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 8 A. Yeah, that's fairly accurate. 9 A. Yeah, our wife's name? 1 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 1 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 2 A. I hear you. 3 A. Yeak you understand. 4 Yeah in the five in Canada? 4 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 5 A. Correct. 6 A. That's fairly accurate. 7 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 8 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 9 A. Yeak you understand. 9 A. Yeak you understa	7		minutes, it can take 45 minutes, it can take two	7		a patient's experience with his doctor, so that
three hours though? 1 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 1 A. Usually not, I would have asked: How many kids do you have? 1 A. Two. 1 A. Two. 1 A. Two. 1 A. Three — my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 1 B. Q. Wow. That's a handful. 1 Canada? 2 C. And you're married? 2 D. A. No. Common law in Canada. 2 C. Okay. Your kids are in Canada. 2 C. Okay. Your kids are in Canada. 2 C. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdends in Canada? 3 A. Everyone is in Canada? 4 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 4 D. So you're only in — you're	8		and a half hours, three hours, it just depends.	8		laypersons, attorneys, can understand the medical
11 A. Usually not, I would say usually not. 12 A. Nov. I should have asked: How many kids do you 13 have? 14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three — my daughter is three and a half, my son 17 Is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common faw in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone Is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdays in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend the week here and half the week there. 27 A. No. Sow give only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes and patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes and middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 27 Q. Okay. 28 Q. Okay. 29 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Okay. 40 Q. Okay. 41 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 42 Q. So your wife only ure line years. 43 A. Yeah. before that I was here usually every day. 44 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 45 A. Yeah. before that I was here usually every day. 46 Q. I she Greek? 47 A. Yeah. before that I was here usually every day. 48 Q. What's your wife's name? 49 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 40 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 41 Charles and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractive report relates the client's injuries and the accident within a degree of reasonable chiropractive report sepert export opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. 40 Defendence provides citations to published report sthat support the chiropractor's expert opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. 41 The narrative report provides citations to published report start sub support the chiropractor's expert opinion on what treatment was necessary and may be necessary in the future. 42 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 43 The narrative reports the chiropractor's expert opinion. 44	9	Q.	Okay. It's not typical for it to take more than	9		notations in the patient's file so it may be
12 Q. Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you have? 13 A. Two. 14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 17 is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 19 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common faw in Canada? 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada? 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 27 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes and the week. It just changes week to week. 26 Q. Okay. 27 Q. Okay. 28 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three week, sometimes later in the week with a half the week. It just changes week to week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Okay. 40 Q. And half srecent, that's for the last three week. 41 Q. Since the kids were born? 42 Q. Is she Greek? 43 A. Yesh, before that I was here usually every day. 44 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 45 Q. What's your wife's name? 46 Q. Is she Greek? 47 A. Lucy. 48 Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 49 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 40 Q. Is she Greek? 41 Input a lot of effort preparing these narratives report in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard for me to make a solid prediction on future letter, so my father started, you know	10		three hours though?	10		presented cohesively in the representation of
have? 14 A. Two. 15 C. How old? 16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 17 is two. 18 C. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone Is in Canada? 24 Q. So you're only treating weekednys here and spend the half the week there. 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and a half the week there. 27 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes araty in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes early in the week. 28 week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Cokay. 21 A. No. Common law in Canada? 22 So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week to week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. Sometimes early in the week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 20 Since the kids were born? 21 Q. Since the kids were born? 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. So you're only in you're only treating partients at Akron Square for half the week? 25 Week. 26 Week. 27 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. The last three years. 25 A. Vesh, before that I was here usually every day. 26 Q. Since the kids were born? 27 A. Lucy. 28 A. Yesh. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 39 Q. What's you wife's name? 40 Q. And you rwife lives in Canada? 41 Correct. 42 Q. What's you wife's name? 43 A. Yesh, before that I was here usually every day. 44 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 45 A. Correct. 46 Q. What's you wife's name? 47 A. Lucy. 48 Q. It she fore that I was here usually every day. 49 Q. It had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 40 Q. It had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 41 Lives in the week as old prediction on future 41 The narrative report medical entity in private report provides citations to published reports that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 41 The narrative report shat support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 42 The narrative report helps attori	11	A.	Usually not, I would say usually not.	11		their client.
14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son 17 is two. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada? 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and 27 half the week there. 28 Q. So you're only in you're only treating 29 patients at Akron Square for half the week to 29 week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes 29 middle of the week. It just changes week to 29 week. 20 Qikay. 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 21 A. Veah. 22 A. Since the kids were born? 23 A. Possible of the week. It is that a courate description of what a not pection because it also lists an objection earlier I believe in that 29 A. Yeah. 30 Q. So you wife's name? 41 A. Correct. 42 C. Okay. 43 A. That's fairly accurate. 44 C. And you're wife lives in Canada? 55 A. Veah, before that I was here usually every day. 56 A. Correct. 57 Correct. 58 Correct. 59 Q. What's you wife's name? 59 A. Yeah. 50 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 50 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 51 C. A. I hear you. 52 P. Was in the future. 54 Rarative report size the chiropractor's expert opinion. 56 The narrative report that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 57 The narrative report size the chiropractor's expert opinion. 58 P. The narrative report size and may be encessary in the future. 59 The narrative report size and may be encessary in the marrative report size and expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report size and expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report size and expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report size and expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report size and expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report	12	Q.	Okay. I should have asked: How many kids do you	12		The narrative report provides the
14 A. Two. 15 Q. How old? 16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son 17 is two. 18 Q. Wow, That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and 27 half the week there. 28 A. Vou'ne wild are weekend week. 29 A. Vou'ne wild are week. 29 A. Vou'ne wild are week. 20 A. Okay. 20 C. Okay. 21 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and 22 half the week there. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes sarly in the 26 week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes 27 middle of the week. It just changes week to 28 week. 29 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Okay. 40 Q. And you're in littigation. 50 Q. Okay. 50 you're only in you're only treating 51 A. Vou'ne wild sheep week. 52 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 50 The narrative report serves as an expert	13		have?	13		chiropractor's expert medical opinion on
16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 17	14	Α.	Two.	14		•
16 A. Three my daughter is three and a half, my son is two. 17	15	Q.	How old?	15		The narrative report relates the client's
17 reasonable chiropractic probability. The 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada? 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and 27 half the week there. 28 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the 29 week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 22 years. 23 Q. Since the kids were born? 24 Q. Since the kids were born? 25 What's your wife's name? 26 Q. Is had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 27 A. Lucy. 28 A. Peer Your sonly understand. 29 Q. Had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. Have is not forek. Of course my mother wasn't 21 Q. Myy wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 22 A. I hear you. 29 And your father started, you know 20 Q. Have in an analy in a narrative report provise the chiropractor's expert 20 polinion on what treatment was necessary in the future. 21 The narrative report provides citations to 22 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert 22 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert 23 expert opinion. 24 The narrative report provides citations to 25 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert 26 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 27 The narrative report provides citations to 28 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 29 The narrative report provides citations to 29 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 20 The narrative report proves the chiropractic pointive. 21 The narrative report provides citations to 22 published reports that support the chiropractor's expert opinion. 21 The narrative report peror provides citations to 22 published reports that support the chiropractive expert opinion. 21 The narrative report peror provides citations to 22 The narrative report peror	16	Α.	Three my daughter is three and a half, my son	16		·
18 Q. Wow. That's a handful. 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 28 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes arily half the week. Sometimes arily half the week. Sometimes half the week. Sometimes week. 29 And your wife lives in Canada? 30 Q. Okay. 40 So you're only in you're only treating half the week here. 41 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 41 The narrative report helps attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys often require reports. 41 In a narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 42 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 43 In a narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 44 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 45 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 46 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 47 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 48 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 49 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 40 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 40 The narrative report serves as an expert report serves as a	17			1		-
19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay, Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 28 A. Vey in e only in — you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes arily in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes later in the week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 21 Q. Since the kids were born? 22 Q. Since the kids were born? 23 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 24 Q. What's your wife's name? 25 Is that an accurate description of what a nobjection because it also lists an objection because it also lists an objection earlier I believe in that response too, so 29 Q. What's your wife's name? 30 Q. What's your wife's name? 31 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 32 Q. What's your wife's name? 33 Q. What's your wife's name? 34 Q. What's your wife's name? 35 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative report is? 36 A. That's fairly accurate. 37 Q. What's your wife's name? 38 Q. What's your wife's name? 39 Q. What's your wife's name? 30 Q. What's your wife's name? 31 A. Lucy. 32 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 39 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand, 30 Q. While is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know	18	Q.	Wow. That's a handful.	1		•
20 Q. And you're married? 21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 28 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 21 Q. Since the kids were born? 22 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 23 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 24 D. And your wife's name? 25 D. It had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 26 D. Way wife is not foreek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know	19	Α.	Yeah.	1		
21 A. No. Common law in Canada. 22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 Everyone is in Canada? 26 So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 27 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 28 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 29 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 20 So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 30 Q. So you're only lin you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 Jusually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes are middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 4 Jusually half the week. Sometimes week to week. 5 A. Usually half the week, sometimes week to week. 6 No And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 20 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't expert to provides citations to published reports serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 108 108 11 The narrative reports helps attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys often required in litigation. 108 11 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 108 11 A. The arrative report helps attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys often request narrative reports. 12 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative report is? 13 Is				1		
22 Q. Okay. Your kids are in Canada? 23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 27 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 28 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes week. 29 A. Usually half the week. It just changes week to week. 30 Q. Okay. 31 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 32 Q. Since the kids were born? 33 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 44 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 45 Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 46 Q. What's your wife's name? 47 A. Lucy. 48 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 49 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 40 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 20 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 22 Q. What's your wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 22 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 22 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 23 Q. Wy wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 Dublished reports. It is a sa expert report which is often required in litigation. 24 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 25 The narrative report helps attorneys with presenting in litigation. 26 The narrative report helps attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys with presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys with presenting, prov						•
23 A. Everyone is in Canada. 24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekends in Canada? 25 weekends in Canada? 26 The narrative report serves as an expert report which is often required in litigation. 108 1 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 2 half the week there. 3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes arrivin the week, sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 3 week. 4 Week. 5 Week. 6 What's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 7 MR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an obje				ı		
24 Q. So you spend the weekdays here and spend the weekdays line Canada? 106 1 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 2 presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys with patients at Akron Square for half the week? 3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 Dusully half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 4 Week. 5 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes in a narrative report is? 5 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative report is? 6 NR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an objection because it also lists an objection because it also lists an objection earlier I believe in that response too, so 11 years. 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know	1		•	ı		
106 1 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 2 presenting, proving and negotiating personal injury claims. For these reasons attorneys often required in litigation. 3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating apatients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 patients at Akron Square for half the week? 5 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 11 years. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. I she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 21 Liver, the first of the last there week is a part of the part of			-	1		
108 1 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 2 So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 5 M. Usually half the week. It just changes week to week. 6 Week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 7 MR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an objection earlier I believe in that response too, so 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three tyears. 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 21 Linear you. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard elther, so my father started, you know 20 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't elther, so my father started, you know 20 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't elther, so my father started, you know 20 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't elther, so my father started, you know	1	u.		ı		•
1 A. No. Now, it's you spend half the week here and half the week there. 2 Description of what a patients at Akron Square for half the week, sometimes arely in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Description of what a negative report is? 5 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 4 Description of what a nearcurate description of what a nearcurative report is? 5 Is that an accurate description of what a nearcurative report is? 6 Is that an accurate description of what a nearcurative report is? 7 MR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an objection earlier I believe in that response too, so 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Lucy. 19 A. Lucy. 10 I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 10 I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek thing. You understand. 11 I hear you. 12 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know	20			25		
2 half the week there. 3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating patients at Akron Square for half the week? 4 Datally half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes in the week, sometimes week to middle of the week. It just changes week to week. 8 Week. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 11 Q. Since the kids were born? 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 Q. Is ad to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 patients at Akron Square for half the week? 25 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes injury claims. For these reasons attorneys often request narrative reports. 26 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 27 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 28 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 29 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 20 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 21 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 29 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative reports. 20 I had to ask olits an objection because it also lists an objectio	1	Δ		4		
3 Q. So you're only in you're only treating 4 patients at Akron Square for half the week? 5 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the 6 week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes 7 middle of the week. It just changes week to 8 week. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 11 years. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I sake Greek? 10 I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 11 the some of the sear	1	71.				
patients at Akron Square for half the week? A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes are middle of the week. It just changes week to week. MR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an objecti	1	0		l		
5 A. Usually half the week. Sometimes early in the 6 week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes 7 middle of the week. It just changes week to 8 week. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 11 years. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 22 either, so my father started, you know 24 in the sometimes early in the 25 Is that an accurate description of what a narrative report is? 7 MR. KEDIR: Let me get an 8 objection because it also lists an 9 objection earlier I believe in that 10 response too, so 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 13 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend 14 reviewing documents and that. It's not just 15 typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing 17 something out. It's a lot more detailed than 18 that an accurate description of what a 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 18 I put a lot of effort preparing these 18 I put a lot of effort preparing these 18 I put a lot of effort preparing these 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 19 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 19 I put a lot of	١.	Œ.		l		·
week, sometimes later in the week, sometimes middle of the week. It just changes week to week. MR. KEDIR: Let me get an objection because it also lists an objection because it also liste an response too, so 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and tha		٨	-			
middle of the week. It just changes week to week. Neek.	1	۸.	_	1		•
8	ı		•			-
9 Q. Okay. 10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three 11 years. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 Objection earlier I believe in that 10 response too, so 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 13 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, thing. You understand. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 does not nate in that 26 nate of the mount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 26 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard for me to make a solid prediction on future	1					
10 A. And that's recent, that's for the last three years. 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 10 response too, so 11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 13 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 18 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, thing. You understand. 20 Q. I hear you. 21 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard either, so my father started, you know	1	^				-
11 A. That's fairly accurate. 12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 Q. Since the kids were born? 26 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 27 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 29 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 29 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, thing. You understand. 20 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard for me to make a solid prediction on future			·			
12 Q. Since the kids were born? 13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is there anything you want to add to that? 19 A. Lucy. 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. Is she Greek? 10 I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 11 I put a lot of effort preparing these 12 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 13 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend 14 reviewing documents and that. It's not just 15 typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing 17 something out. It's a lot more detailed than 18 that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 19 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 20 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 21 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 22 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 23 for me to make a solid prediction on future	l	Α.				
13 A. Yeah, before that I was here usually every day. 14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 13 A. It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 15 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 18 It doesn't go into the amount of time I spend reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 19 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, there is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard for me to make a solid prediction on future	1	^	-			-
14 Q. And your wife lives in Canada? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 A. Correct. 26 reviewing documents and that. It's not just typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 26 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing something out. It's a lot more detailed than that. 26 I put a lot of effort preparing these narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, thing. You understand. 27 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard for me to make a solid prediction on future						
15 A. Correct. 16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 either, so my father started, you know 15 typing the report. It's much deeper than that. 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing 17 something out. It's a lot more detailed than 18 that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 20 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 23 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future	l				Α.	-
16 Q. What's your wife's name? 17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 16 It's just not putting paper to pen or typing 17 something out. It's a lot more detailed than 18 that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 20 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 23 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future			·			-
17 A. Lucy. 18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 19 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't either, so my father started, you know 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 Something out. It's a lot more detailed than 26 that. 27 I put a lot of effort preparing these 28 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 29 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 20 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 21 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 22 for me to make a solid prediction on future			1.11			
18 Q. Is she Greek? 19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 28 that. 19 I put a lot of effort preparing these 20 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 23 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future			·			
19 A. Yes. Not that it matters. It doesn't matter. 20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 29 I put a lot of effort preparing these 20 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 23 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future			- <u>-</u>			
20 Q. I had to ask. Okay. It's just a Greek to Greek 21 thing. You understand. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 20 narratives. I know a lot of patients, example, 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 23 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future						
thing. You understand. 21 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 Thera Reid, is she's probably suffering today. 26 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 27 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 28 for me to make a solid prediction on future						
22 A. I hear you. 23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 I haven't seen her in many, many years, but her 26 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 27 for me to make a solid prediction on future						
23 Q. My wife is not Greek. Of course my mother wasn't 24 either, so my father started, you know 25 level of injury was very traumatic and it's hard 26 for me to make a solid prediction on future			-			
24 either, so my father started, you know 24 for me to make a solid prediction on future			-			
, and a solid production of received						-
25 A. Okay. 25 medical care.						
	25	A.	Okay.	25		medical care.

1					
١.		109			111
1		Every deposition that I've ever gone to, your	1		Uh-huh.
2		friend Rob Horton, for example, as recent, the	2	Α.	KNR is usually not going to request a
3		last several depositions he's taken from me at	3		narrative for that patient,
4		Slater & Zurz, he's brought up the narrative all	4		Okay.
5		the time. He asks me specific questions, you	5		So it's not on every single patient.
6		know, about the narrative. Why I came up with	6	Q.	The great majority of them?
7		reasons, causations, diagnosis and all that	7		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
8		stuff. So I feel like it's very important in the	8	_	MR. POPSON: Objection.
9		case. I feel like it's the best interest of the	9	Α.	It's hard for me to say number wise. It's hard
10		case best interest of the patient for me to	10		to say based on what I produced, I don't think
11		prepare these narratives for them.	11		it's anywhere close to every patient, but again,
12		,	12	_	it's very hard to predict.
14		interrogatory?	13	Q.	Okay. Are there any other law firms are there
15		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	1		any law firms, I should say, for whom you will
16		With my counsel, yeah. With your attorney. Okay.	15		produce a narrative report on every case that
17		Yes.	17		that law firm handles where the patient is
18		And there are certain attorneys or law firms with	18		treating with you?
19		whom you work that automatically requests a	19	Α.	I don't I don't produce any narratives on
20		narrative report from you for all	20		every case for any law firm that I have patients with. It just doesn't happen. So there are
21		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	21		attorneys that request narratives and when the
22	Q.		22		request comes in, I comply and I produce the
23	٠.	you, correct?	23		narrative. But it's never on every case for
24		MR. POPSON: Objection.	24		every law firm.
25	Α.	I don't work with any law firms, let's make sure	25	Q.	Or on the great majority of them?
		110			112
1		we get that because I don't work with any law	1		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
2		firms. I recommend law firms to patients. Many	2		MR. POPSON: Objection.
3		law firms request narratives. Some more frequent	3	Α.	That's so hard to say, Peter, very difficult to
4		than others, but I don't work with any law firms.	4		say.
5		I wait until I get a request. I produce records.	5	Q.	So let me understand your testimony about KNR.
6		Sometimes I produce the narratives, sometimes I	6		Is it your testimony that you do not produce a
7		don't. It depends on the request of the law	7	•	narrative report on the great majority of cases,
8		firm.	8		KNR cases, where you treat the patients?
9	Q.	But if you get the request, you will always make	9		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
10		the report, correct?	10		MR. POPSON: Objection.
11	A.	Of course if it's requested, yes. So if they	11	A.	I can't say it's a great majority or great
12		request records, I have to send the records. If	12		minority, it's case-to-case basis. If a patient
13		they request bills, I send the bills. If they a	13		if I release a patient and KNR sends a request
14	_	narrative, I request I prepare a narrative.	14		that asks for a narrative, I'll produce a
15	Q.	And you're aware that KNR automatically requests	15		narrative. If that request doesn't say produce a
16		a narrative on every case that you're involved	16		narrative, I won't produce a narrative.
17		with, correct?	17		I'm not asking you that, I'm asking if you're
18		MR. POPSON: Objection.	18		denying that on the great majority of KNR cases
19	٨	MR. KEDIR: Objection.	19		where you are treating the patient, you are asked
20 21	Α.	That's far from the truth, Peter, that doesn't	20		to produce a narrative report by KNR.
22		happen. It's not every single case. What if the patient what if I treat the patient 25 times	21		Are you denying that or are you saying you
23		and there's no insurance. There hasn't been no	22 23		don't know?
24		established insurance on the car that caused an	24		MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. POPSON: Objection.
25		accident	25	Α.	Yeah, I'm not I don't know. I'm not agreeing
_	4 (2.04	2.04:17:24 PM Page 100 to			

		253	1.		255
1		MR. MANNION: You're asking him to	1		comment on this case.
2		interpret what a Court says.	2	Q.	Okay.
3	A.	Yeah. I'm not going to comment, and I'm not	3		
4		going to agree with you on anything that this	4		(Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 28 was marked
5		says, because I don't really understand it.	5		for purposes of identification.)
6	Q.	Okay.	6		
7		MR. POPSON: Did you tell him what	7	Q.	Do you remember McDade v Morris and State Farm?
8		the outcome was?	8		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
9		MR. PATTAKOS: I don't think the	9	A.	No. What year was this in? I don't know. I
10		outcome is relevant.	10		don't remember this.
11		MR. MANNION: Right. The fact	11	Q.	You don't remember treating Yulanda McDade?
12		that it was properly excluded.	12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Okay. So, you're saying that you can't	13	Q.	Or, testifying
14		understand that the insurance company that	14	A.	No.
15		represented the Defendant, in this case, was	15	Q.	in this case, at all?
16		attacking your relationship with the KNR firm?	16	A.	No.
17		MR. MANNION: Objection.	17	Q.	Okay. Page 2 paragraph 3, it says Ms. Dade
18		MR. KEDIR: Objection.	18		believed it was Mr. Morris' intention to defend
19		MR. POPSON: Objection.	19		the lawsuit against him by discrediting
20	Q.	You never became aware of that?	20		Dr. Floros, in particular, she believed Mr.
21	Α.	No. I've treated, like I said, many patients,	21		Morris meant to argue that Dr. Floros had an
22		and I've never been made aware of that.	22		arrangement with certain law firms, and routinely
23	Q.	And, you never advised your clients of any	23		profited from referring his patients legal
24		skepticism that insurance companies have over the	24	promise in a control of the parameter regul	
25		relationship between you and KNR, or any law	25		MR. KEDIR: Objection.
		254			The Replice Objection.
					256
1			1		MP POPSON: Objection
1 2		firm, have you?	1 2	Δ	MR. POPSON: Objection.
2		firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection.	2	A.	MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means.
1		firm, have you?	2 3		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know.
3	Α.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.	2 3 4		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay.
2 3 4 5	A.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any	2 3 4 5		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short
2 3 4 5 6	A.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat	2 3 4 5 6		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7		firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it.	2 3 4 5 6 7		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	firm, have you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer. you certainly don't advise your clients of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. POPSON: Just one quick thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer. you certainly don't advise your clients of that, do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer. you certainly don't advise your clients of that, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. POPSON: Just one quick thing to follow up on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer. you certainly don't advise your clients of that, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. POPSON: Just one quick thing to follow up on. EXAMINATION OF MINAS FLOROS, DC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	MR. KEDIR: Objection. MR. MANNION: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. I don't agree with you that there is any skepticism. I don't think there is. I treat patients who are injured, and that's it. Whether you agree with me or not, the question is, you don't advise clients about this, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection. I don't know what to advise them about. I don't understand your question. Well, if you don't agree that the insurance companies, for example, in this case, Chambers v. Lee, are attacking your relationship with the law firm, as is said very clearly here in this paragraph 10 MR. MANNION: Objection. You're a lawyer. you certainly don't advise your clients of that, do you? MR. KEDIR: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	MR. POPSON: Objection. No. Again, I don't know what any of this means. I don't know. Okay. MR. PATTAKOS: Let's take a short break. I think I'm almost done. I think I only have a few minutes, but let me confirm. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time is 3:48. (Thereupon, a recess was had.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 3:53. MR. PATTAKOS: We have no further questions, Dr. Floros. Thank you for your time today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. POPSON: Just one quick thing to follow up on.

EXTO

<u>\$</u>	<u>175:12, 175:17</u>	19 2 - 4:21, 216:24	1:22	35 [1] - 4:12
₹	100 [2] - 58:3, 71:5	197 [1] - 4:19	217 [2] - 4:21, 4:22	3600 [1] - 2:12
	101 [3] - 1:14, 2:3,	1979 [1] - 5:19	218 [2] - 4:22, 4:23	3:31 [1] - 250:21
\$1,135 _[1] - 197:21	2:17	19th [5] - 201:4.	219 [2] - 4:23, 4:24	3:37 [1] - 250:25
\$120 _[1] - 204:11	10:00 _[2] - 36:6, 36:12	202:17, 204:23.		
\$150 [2] - 204:10.			22 4 - 4:22, 218:6,	<u>3:48 [1] - 256:10</u>
204:24	10:15 [1] - 51:24	205:19, 206:23	218;8, 219:20	<u>3:53 [1] - 256:15</u>
\$200 [2] - 103:22,	10th [4] - 188:8, 189:6,	<u>1:48 [1] - 168:6</u>	<u>2250</u> [2] - 2:7, 2:22	3:55 [1] - 260:17
258:23	204:18, 205:19	1st [4] - 147:4, 152:20,	<u>229 [1] - 4:24</u>	3rd [4] - 28:25,
\$250 [3] - 204:10,	11 [10] - 4:15, 45:12,	<u>188:9, 189:17</u>	22nd [2] - 170:6, 190:6	129:11, 129:16,
	<u>125:17, 125:25,</u>		23 [10] - 4:23, 78:20,	<u>190:7</u>
204:20, 204:23	<u>126:5, 127:10.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>78:22, 78:25, 81:2,</u>	
\$3,200 [1] - 208:23	<u>177:8. 213:1, 213:9,</u>		<u>81:8, 168:13,</u>	4
\$4,000 [1] - 203:14	<u>215:11</u>	2 4.40 04.00	<u>168;14, 218:18,</u>	
\$4,010 [3] - 201:8,	11:15 [1] - 102:18	2 12 - 4:12, 21:22,	218:22	
201:12, 208:22	11:25 [1] - 102:24	35:9, 35:11, 102:24,	24 [4] - 4:23, 193:11,	4[8] - 4:13, 53:20,
\$4,600 _[1] - 203:15	11th [2] - 45:12, 190:8	<u>177:7, 188:14,</u>	219:2, 219:5	<u>53:22, 176:15,</u>
\$45 [1] - 204:2	12 16 - 4:15, 30:2,	<u>199:6, 220:22,</u>	248 [1] - 4:25	<u>177;7, 199:6, 200:6,</u>
\$5,000 [5] - 141:25,	37:12, 37:15, 44:19,	<u>239:1, 251;8, 255:17</u>	24th [1] - 54:7	<u>250;25</u>
142:1, 142:16,	44:22, 44:23, 44:24,	20 [9] - 1:16, 4:21,	25 6 - 4:24, 20:24,	4,000 [1] - 203:10
142:18, 167:6	46:7, 83:5, 137:13,	20:24, 46:3, 46:7,	110:22, 193:11,	4/25/16 [1] - 21:20
\$600 [6] - 201:16,	145:22, 145:24,	46:16, 46:18, 217:2,	219:12, 219:15	40 [2] - 21:12, 117:25
202:20, 203:11,	190:17, 191:5,	<u>262:19</u>		400 [1] - 39:11
205:2, 206:5, 206:12	262:23	20,000 [2] - 81:22,	255 [1] - 4:25	440 [4] - 79:10.
\$65 [1] - 204:14	12-hour [1] - 44:20	81:25	<u>256 [1] - 4:4</u>	168:16, 168:17,
\$674,420.17 [1] -		200 [2] - 4:19, 103:25	<u>258 [1] - 4:5</u>	169:4
230:16	125 [1] - 4:15	2000 [1] - 251:22	<u>259 [1] - 4:7</u>	44113 [2] - 1:21,
\$75 _[1] - 204:14	<u>12:42 [1] - 167:25</u>	2002 [1] - 213:2	25th [6] - 188:9.	262:23
\$75[1] - 204.14	12th [3] - 190;24.	2004 6 - 7:4, 7:12,	<u>189:15, 190:7.</u>	
,	<u>191:20, 192:10</u>	7:14, 56:8, 81:23,	<u>194:4, 194:7, 194:8</u>	44114 3 - 2:8, 2:13,
<u> </u>	<u>13 [6] - 4:17, 5:19,</u>	82:1	26 4 - 4:24, 24:19,	2:23
	<u>169:21, 171:9,</u>	2006 _[1] - 227:6	137:12, 229:14	44115 [1] - 2:18
<u>'04 [1]</u> - 48:25	<u>188:14, 190:4</u>		260 [1] - 4:8	<u>44333 [1] - 2:3</u>
34111 10.20	1301 [1] - 2:12	2007/2008 [1] - 227:6	27 2 - 4:25, 248:3	45 5 - 21:7, 21:10.
0	1332 [2] - 1:21, 262:22	<u>2012</u> [6] - 72:19, 79:7.	27th [4] - 188:8.	<u>21:17, 46:5, 105:7</u>
<u>0</u>	1375 [2] - 2:7, 2:22	<u>169:4, 214:22,</u>	188:12, 190:7,	<u>450 [1] - 39:11</u>
	13th [1] - 190:8	<u>252:1, 252:2</u>	197:20	<u>48 [1] - 29:13</u>
025[1] - 193:17	14 2 - 4:18, 188:4	<u>2013 [5] - 72:19,</u>	28 2 - 4:25, 255:4	4th [11] - 129:22,
	145 [1] - 4:15	<u>129:11, 161:13,</u>	28(D) [1] - 262:17	<u>152:19, 160:2,</u>
1	14th [1] - 214:13	<u>161:23, 230:16</u>	2:48 [1] - 214:6	<u>188:8, 188:24,</u>
<u> </u>	15 19 - 4:19, 20:24.	<u>2014 [3] - 72:20.</u>	2:55 [1] - 214:9	189:2, 190:7, 191:8,
	21:7, 21:10, 30:9,	<u>251:23, 251:25</u>	2nd [1] - 162:4	<u>191:11, 191:13,</u>
<u>1 [12] - 4:11, 16:18,</u>	31:21, 46:5, 49:23,	2014-Ohio-4651 [1] -	2114111 - 102.4	<u>191:18</u>
<u>16:20, 21:22,</u>	52:15, 59:17, 60:4,	<u>249:23</u>		
<u>102:17, 177:7,</u>	60:7, 82:13, 87:3,	<u>2015 [4] - 54:13.</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>
182:14, 199:6,	87:18, 89:15, 92:19,	72:20, 99:16, 215:2		
201:20, 220:1,	<u>07.16, 69.15, 92.19,</u> <u>114:18, 197:11</u>	2016 [4] - 28:25,	3 [9] - 4:12, 51:25,	
238:20, 251:9		72:20, 190:17, 191:5	52:2, 77:21, 168:6,	5 10 - 4:2, 4:13,
1,000 [2] - 114:20,	150 [1] - 103:25	2017 [6] - 72:21, 79:7,	177:7. 188:14.	54:20, 54:23,
114:25	15th [2] - 204:21.	79:8: 197:20, 197:21	199:6, 255:17	<u>176:15, 177:7,</u>
1,117 [1] - 72:21	<u>205:19</u>	2018 [1] - 198:4	30 [10] - 21:12, 44:12,	200:6, 249;8,
10 [18] - 4:15, 125:17,	<u>16 [3] - 4:11, 4:19,</u>	<u>2019</u> <u>[1]</u> - 1:16		249:14, 252:5
125:25, 126:5,	200:19	2022 [1] - 262:23	46:3, 47:16, 105:6,	50 [3] - 58:2, 59:2,
	1600 [1] - 2:17		113:13, 120:15,	65:3
<u>127:10, 174:25,</u>	<u>169 [1] - 4:17</u>	208 [1] - 4:20	<u>123:24, 154:22,</u>	<u>50% [2] - 201:21,</u>
<u>176:12, 176:24,</u>	<u>17 [2] - 4:20, 208:14</u>	20th [3] - 170:4, 190:6,	<u>167:3</u>	201:22
<u>176:25, 177:8,</u>	175 [1] - 168:20	<u>198:10</u>	30(b 11 - 221:22	52 [8] - 4 :12, 29:1,
189:10, 201:2,	17th [1] - 72:21	<u>21 3 - 4:22, 217:19,</u>	31st [4] - 147:9,	37:6, 37:11, 52:14,
<u>249:14, 252:5,</u>	18 [3] - 4:20, 197:20,	<u>217:22</u>	<u>147:10, 193:15,</u>	53:1, 53:11
<u>252:8, 252:9, 254:17</u>	216:21	216 [6] - 2:8, 2:13,	<u>193:19</u>	
<u>10's [1] - 127:20</u>	188 [1] - 4:18	2:18, 2:23, 4:20	<u>330 [1] - 2:4</u>	53 <u>111 - 4:13</u>
10-point [5] - 173:23.	18th 121 - 189:11,	<u>4:21</u>	344-9467 [2] - 2:8,	<u>54 [1] - 4:13</u>
<u>174:1, 175:7,</u>	189:13	<u>216)664-0541 [1] -</u>	<u>2:23</u>	<u>55</u> 2 - 1:20, 262:22
	.100.10			
	ļ			

EXHIBIT C

STATE OF OHIO ISS. COUNTY OF SUMMIT

AFFIDAVIT

EXTO

I, John Vallillo, J.D., LPCS, having been duly swom, and having personal knowledge of the facts and statements contained herein, testify as follows:

- I am greater than eighteen (18) years of age. Ť.
- I have 37 years of experience in the insurance industry including positions at 2. Kemper Insurance Company, Economy Fire and Casualty Company, Northeast Adjusting Services, Inc., and Auto Owner's Insurance Company.
- I retired from Auto Owner's Insurance Company after 27 years of service. I have experience in all lines, property and casualty claims. I was the manager of the Akron branch of Auto Owner's for nine years.
- 4. I graduated from the University of Akron, School of Law in 2005 and was admitted to the bar in 2008. My Ohio State bar membership is currently inactive.
- During my career of handling injury daims, it was well-known in the insurance industry that chiropractic offices would review publicly available accident reports for potential clients who may have been injured. It cannot be inferred that chiropractors solicited clients on behalf of specific lawyers or law firms. Chiropractors may refer or recommend patients to any number of attorneys or law firms upon request of the patient.
- Insurance claims personnel are trained to consider each claimant who alleges injury as an individual with distinct symptoms and individualized treatment plans. Despite this, low impact accidents resulting soft tissue injuries requiring medical treatment more than likely look for chiropractic treatment initially and then may be referred to a physician specializing in more serious conditions. My experience with soft tissue claims revealed similar symptoms, diagnosis and common treatment regimens by almost all chiropractic providers. The objective of all claims

MICHAEL. KATHRYN

personnel is to allow an injured party to seek treatment so full recovery may be achieved as soon as possible. Settlement of these types of claims results in a closed file so claims personnel may move on to the next claim.

- Statements of skepticism and increased scrutiny to Plaintiffs' attorneys by claims 7 personnel are common negotiating tactics. Claims representatives look to resolve injury claims before they become expensive because of litigation costs and loss of time. Statements like these made to attorneys representing the injured parties are tactical in nature and are motivated by desire to move these cases to settlement. As part of the negotiation process, a claims representative may request a recorded statement from the injured party with permission of the attorney. The attorney has full discretion to allow or not allow such a statement. This decision is typically made on a caseby-case basis and is also accepted practice in the insurance industry. The bottom line for claims representatives is to settle the claim and properly compensate the injured party prior to suit being filed. The great majority of claims are settled in this manner and even if suit is filed, the vast majority of those cases settle prior to trial. This is particularly true where liability is not in dispute. If suit is filed, the rules of the Court govern the process of discovery for the involved parties and should result in obtaining information needed to conclude the matter in a transparent and clear manner.
- Insurance claims personnel are not trained to be physicians but are expected to obtain all pertinent information in order to properly evaluate each claim prior to suit being filed. One of the tools of evaluation is obtaining a narrative report from treating physicians that provides basic information regarding the patient including a brief medical history, a record of the current injury or sickness including claimed and evident symptoms, a diagnosis by the treating physician, a record of the treatment regimen, and a prognosis of recovery. These reports also often include opinions regarding causation. Rather than deciphering volumes of medical records, the narrative report provides an efficient method of evaluating each claim and is a common document to be used by

both claims personnel and attorneys.

- It is not unusual, nor may an improper relationship be inferred by an attorney's 9. decision to obtain namative reports in any soft tissue injury cases. Many insurance carriers request copies of narrative reports as a matter of course in evaluating injury claims. Therefore, it cannot be said that it is unusual or unreasonable for attorneys to request such reports as a matter of course. In my experience, the purpose of these reports is to help get the case resolved. It cannot be inferred that the purpose of the reports is to improperly divert client funds to a chiropractor.
- When an insurance adjuster is reviewing the cost of medical care submitted in 10. connection with a claim, the evaluation of whether a charge is reasonable is subject to the elements of proof in a particular jurisdiction and may be the subject of expert testimony by physicians or other medical care providers. In my experience, the discounted rates negotiated by Medicare or health insurance carriers are not the limit of a reasonable charge. Different health insurance providers may negotiate different amounts for payment for the same service, and many physicians only offer these discounted rates for health insurance purposes. The rates charged by physicians for similar services may vary in a significant manner and are not categorically unreasonable in the view of a third party claims professional simply because Medicare or a health insurance carrier will only pay a discounted rate. Further, the amount charged is often more than the amount accepted by physicians for payment. Thus, the determination of whether a particular payment to a medical care provider was reasonable would vary from claim to claim.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

John Vallillo

SWORN TO BEFORE ME and subscribed in my presence this 13th day of 2019.

Cynthia My Caster

Attorney at Law
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF OHIO
My Commission Has
No Expiration Date
No Expiration Date
Section 147,03 O.R.C.

EXTO

EXHIBIT D

CV-2016-09-3928	MICHAEL, KATHRYN	06/17/2019 20:16:00 PM	EXTO	Page 33 of 57
CV-2016-09-39	MICHAEL, KATHRYN	02/27/2019 11:02:29 AM	1 DEPE	Page 1 of 244
1 2	IN THE COURT OF COMMON SUMMIT COUNTY, OH)		Shaun H. Kedir, Esq. Feagan Law 101 West Prospect Ave Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 937-2222 Skedir@feaganlaw.com	
4	MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al., Plaintiffs,	5	On behalf of the Defe Dr. Minas Floros, DC;	endant,
5 6 7 8	-vs- CASE NO. C VOLUME I KISLING, NESTICO REDICK, LLC, et al., Defendants	V-2016-09-3928 6 7 8	Brad J. Barmen, Esq. Lewis Brisbois 1375 East 9th Street, S Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9467	Suite 2250
9	Videotaped deposition of ALBE	9	On behalf of the Defe Sam N. Ghoubrial, Ma	
11 12 13	ESQ., taken as if upon examination Margareten, a Notary Public within State of Ohio, at the Hilton Akron and Suites, 3180 W. Market Street,	n before Chana n and for the 11 n-Fairlawn Hotel 12	David M. Best, Esq. David M. Best Co., LPA 4900 West Bath Road Akron, Ohio 44333 (330) 665-1855	
15 16 17	Ohio, at 9:21 a.m. on Thursday, For pursuant to notice and/or stipulate counsel, on behalf of the Plaintii	ebruary 7, 2019, 14 tions of 15	dmbest@dmbestlaw.co On behalf of the Defe Kisling Nestico & Red and Ghoubrial, Inc.;	endants,
18 19 20 21	JK COURT REPORTING 55 PUBLIC SQUARE SULTE 1332 CLEVELAND, OHIO 441 (216)664-0541	18	George D. Jonson, Esq. Montgomery Rennie Jor 36 East Seventh Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 241-4722 gjonson@mrjlaw.com	, Suite 2100
22 23 24 25	www.jarkub.com	20 21 22	ALSO PRESENT: John J. Reagan, Esq. Peter Graves - videogra Member Williams	pher
1 <u>APPEA</u>	RANCES:	23 24 25 2 1	INDEX	4
3 101 Akr 4 (33	er Pattakos, Esq. hel Hazelet, Esq. 1 Ghent Road con, Ohio 44333 10) 836-8533 cer@pattakoslaw.com	3 4	EXAMINATION ALBERTO R. NESTICO, ES BY MR. PATTAKOS EXHIBIT INDEX	5
7 Coh 320 8 One Cle 9 (21 jco 10 Tho 12 Lew 137 Cle (21	thua R. Cohen, Esq. hen, Rosenthal & Kramer, Ll le Clinton Avenue e Clinton Place veland, Ohio 44113 6) 815-9500 hen@crklaw.com on behalf of the Plaintiffs; lemas P. Mannion, Esq. lis Brisbois 75 East 9th Street, Suite 22 veland, Ohio 44114 6) 344-9467 n.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com	50 11 12 13 14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 18	20 367 73 80 81 895 136 146 147 151 154 159 177 178 189
16 Jam Sut' 17 360 130 18 Cle- (21 19 Jpo 20 and 21 Dan Wei 22 101 Cle 23 (21 dgo 24	les M. Popson, Esq. ter, O'Connell O Erieview Tower 1 East 9th Street veland, Ohio 44114 6) 928-2200 pson@sutter-law.com liel P. Goetz, Esq. sman, Kennedy & Berris Co W. Prospect Avenue, Suite veland, Ohio 44115 6) 781-1111 etz@weismanlaw.com n behalf of the Defendants, isling, Nestico & Redick, LLC	22 23 24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 and 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 24 Plaintiff's Exhibit 25 Plaintiff's Exhibit 25 Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 Plaintiff's Exhibit 36 Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 Plaintiff's Exhibit 38 Plaintiff's Exhibit 39 Plaintiff's Exhibit 39 Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 Plaintiff's Exhibit 42	2 0 3 2 1 1 2 1 3 2 1 4 2 1 6

Page 33 of 57

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 02/27/2019 11:02:29 AM DEPE Page 70 of 244 277 A. Then it says, Roger handle. Again, if you're 1 A. No, it's not. 2 looking at your referrals, for marketing Q. Okay. How does it work? 3 purposes, and evaluating them, I want those 3 A. Client is done treating, the paralegal will send 4 numbers as -- because there is already the margin a letter, a request for records, bills, and a 4 5 5 of error. At that time, making sure, hey, make report. The doctor then sends the records, the 6 sure you have the referral correct. That's all. 6 bills, and the report. 7 MR. BARMEN: This is incomplete. 7 Q. Okav. 8 There are two e-mails here, and they're 8 A. When they receive the report, they automatically 9 9 both Re on the subject line, so the initial pay the \$150 or 200, whatever the doctor's fees are. They get the report. 10 e-mail is not here. 10 11 THE WITNESS: That's what I'm 11 Q. Okay. So the check is not cut until the report 12 saying. 12 is received? 13 13 A. Absolutely. MR. PATTAKOS: Okay. Well, I will 14 get it. We'll get it back tomorrow. 14 Q. And the report isn't received until after the 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 treatment is complete? 16 MR. BARMEN: I thought you didn't 16 A. Because it's a summary of the treatment. 17 have it. 17 Q. Got you. And why is this necessary? 18 18 MR. PATTAKOS: I don't have it A. It benefits the client's case tremendously, in my 19 19 here. opinion. 20 MR. BARMEN: Oh, got you. 20 Q. What's that opinion based on? 21 THE WITNESS: But there is nothing 21 A. My experience in dealing with adjusters. 22 to that. 22 Q. Adjusters have told you that these narrative 23 Q. So, let's talk about the narrative reports that 23 reports really help and it makes it easier for 24 are at issue in this case. So basically for 24 you to give your clients more money? 25 clients who treat with certain chiropractors, the 25 A. You just added a whole bunch of stuff there. 278 280 1 firm will automatically order a narrative report Q. Well, tell me. 2 from those chiropractors summarizing the client's 2 A. The adjusters have told me that this helps them 3 injuries and treatment that's ostensibly to be 3 evaluate. Do you understand that a narrative 4 used in settling the client's claim, correct? 4 report, the effect of a narrative report? 5 MR. MANNION: Objection. 5 According to -- I could give you even a name of a 6 A. Okay. So, do we want to go through the whole 6 supervisor that said they are excellent to have. 7 7 John Vallilo, at Auto Owners says they are good 8 Q. Please. Well, first is that correct? 8 to have and we request them. 9 9 MR. MANNION: Objection. Insurance companies request them. Most of 10 Q. Is anything I say false there? Again, and I will 10 them even put it in their form letters. So that 11 11 read it again if you would like. narrative report has a significance, has an 12 A. Yeah. Please. 12 impact on every case. 13 Q. For clients who treat with certain chiropractors, 13 Q. John Vallilo of who? 14 the firm will automatically order a narrative 14 A. Auto Owners. Who is retired now. He was the 15 15 report from that chiropractor summarizing the head of their entire BI unit. 16 client's injuries and treatment at the beginning 16 Q. How do you spell that, Vallilo? 17 of the case ostensibly to be used in settling the 17 A. V-a-l-l-i-l-o, I believe. client's claim? 18 Q. Okay. Do you have any documents where the 19 A. No. 19 insurance companies are requesting the narrative 20 20 Q. No? reports? 21 A. That's where you're wrong. 21 A. Yeah, we provided them to you. 22 Q. Okay. 22 Q. Okay. So, do you have any other evidence or 23 23 A. You don't get a narrative report at the beginning analysis of the -- the effectiveness of these 24 24 narrative reports besides the anecdotal evidence 25 Q. But it's ordered at the beginning of the case? 25 that you just described?

281 What do you mean? 1 Q. How so, going back in time? 2 MR. MANNION: I am going to object 2 Sure. So narrative reports, when you go back to 3 to the form, but go ahead. 3 2005, because every time insurance companies have 4 4 A. Yeah, how is it anecdotal? I'm hearing it right made changes, but you go back to 2005, and 5 5 from the insurance companies. I heard it from probably all of the way through 2009, they 6 SIU adjusters. I've heard it from regular 6 started really ramping up Colossus. Colossus is 7 adjusters. All the lawyers have heard it. 7 a program that insurance companies use. 8 8 Q. Have you ever conducted an analysis of whether The narrative report, when we get them, 9 9 the fee is worth it? because the doctor's note are -- you can't even 10 A. There is no way of conducting any analysis of it, 10 read them three quarters of the time, they didn't 11 impossible. 11 have EMR back then, electronic medical records. 12 Q. So what --12 So you would get these narratives, summarize, it 13 13 A. So they are going to have a different value for would have all of that information in there, all 14 each case. I don't know if it increased it by a 14 of the care, and it would include CPT and 15 thousand dollars, 10,000. 15 diagnostic codes in there. Colossus runs off of 16 I'll give you a perfect example, you could 16 those codes. 17 17 have an offer of \$20,000 on a case. The adjuster You're now making sure that the insurance 18 says, could you get me a narrative report from 18 company is capturing all of the injuries that 19 the neurosurgeon? Yes, we can. Go get the 19 relate to the auto accident, that are on that 20 narrative report. That adjuster is going to 20 report in there. They utilize those codes, put 21 increase it. Okay, I'm going to give you an 21 them in. Now they've captured all of the care, 22 extra \$5,000. 22 which was not in their notes. This is all put in 23 Q. I want to make it clear that I'm only asking you 23 a summary. 24 about narrative reports from the chiros. 24 But doesn't the chiropractor have a duty to 25 Okav. No -- no difference. 25 provide that information to the clients, just as 282 284 1 1 Q. Well, narrative report from a neurosurgeon is their treating chiropractor? 2 going to have more of an impact than a narrative 2 MR. MANNION: Objection. 3 report from a chiropractor; don't you agree? 3 A. You're asking me now about duties of a 4 MR. MANNION: Objection. 4 chiropractor. I don't know what their duty is, 5 5 Depending on what injury, Peter. Come on, but I could tell you what we utilize them for, 6 seriously. 6 that's all. 7 Q. Don't you agree, sir? 7 Q. It sounds like what you just described was 8 MR. MANNION: Do you know what a 8 something that any client would have the right to 9 bodily injury case is, Peter? 9 go to a provider and say, hey, the CPT and 10 A. Okay. So --10 diagnostic codes, what were they? I need this 11 11 MR. MANNION: Jesus. information. 12 A. -- if you're referring to a -- a soft tissue 12 A. Peter, they are not communicating with the 13 injury case, we wouldn't go get a neurosurgeon to 13 insurance company. Everybody doesn't know how to 14 evaluate a soft tissue injury case, right? 14 deal with the insurance company or what they're 15 Q. Of course, 15 doing. There is classes. There were CLEs back 16 16 A. So the chiropractor, for \$150 is one heck of a then that actually helped plaintiffs lawyer do 17 deal, to be able to get that report. And if you 17 that. There were actual classes on Colossus. 18 go back in time, it will explain to you why 18 So -- so how else is going back in time going to 19 19 narratives are good. show that this \$150 is a heck of a deal? Is 20 MR. PATTAKOS: Could you play that 20 there any other reason besides --21 21 testimony back, please? A. What do you mean? 22 _ _ _ _ 22 Q. -- this Colossus explanation? 23 (Thereupon, the requested portion of 23 MR. MANNION: And what else he has 24 the record was read by the reporter.) 24 already testified to, you mean? 25 25 Q. Do you have anything to add about how going back

EXHIBIT E

EXTO

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.)	CASE NO.: CV-2016-09-3928
Plaintiffs,)	JUDGE PATRICIA COSGROVE
vs.)	
KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.)	
Defendants.)	

AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN LYNETT, JR

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, John Lynett, Jr. personally appeared and declared the following:

- 1. My name is John Lynett, Jr. I am over 18 years of age, and capable of making this affidavit. 1 have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this affidavit. The facts stated herein are true and correct.
- 2. I went to law school at the University of Akron, School of Law and received my law degree with honors and was admitted to the Ohio Bar in 1993. I have practiced continuously since that time.
- 3. My law practice focuses on claims involving personal injury.
- 4. Many of my clients receive soft tissue injuries that resulted from the negligence of another person in a motor vehicle crash. Those injuries regularly require treatment and therapy that can last weeks, or even months.
- 5. Many of my clients do not have personal medical insurance, or the personal means that would allow them to access to the care they needed without my help.
- 6. To provide the best possible service for my clients, I have recommended to my clients doctors and facilities that will treat them for their injuries, with the understanding that these providers will not try to collect payment for those services from my clients until my clients' claims have been settled or adjudicated.
- 7. Because these physicians do not have the legal right in Ohio to enforce an Assignment of Benefits on my clients' claims, with my clients' permission I agree to withhold an amount of agreed upon health care services fees from my clients' settlement or judgment and pay those amounts directly to the doctor or healthcare facility. That promise benefits my client because it puts the healthcare provider at ease knowing that if there is a monetary resolution to the claim, the physician will get some portion of their fees paid and allows my client to get the timely medically necessary treatment that is required.

CV-2016-09-3928

- 8. I have also been recommended to clients by healthcare providers, like Minas Floros, DC, who are treating individuals that are similarly situated to my clients, in that they did not have personal medical insurance, or the personal means that would allow them to get the care they needed without the assistance of an attorney.
- 9. Dr. Floros has told me that he recommends patients to me because he believes that I will represent his patient, now my client, well, and with my client's approval, I will pay Dr. Floros' bill or a portion thereof directly from the proceeds of any settlement and judgment.
- 10. In the normal course of business, I request written narrative reports from Minas Floros, D.C. (and other health providers) once the physician has completed treating one of my clients. I find a narrative report to be useful in negotiating with the claims adjuster. This report explains the causal relationship between the motor vehicle accident in which my client was involved, and the injuries sustained. The plain language used by the health care provider in the narrative report makes it easy for a layperson, to understand what caused the injury, what the injury was, what treatment was administered, and what the patient's prognosis is.
- 11. The narrative report that I ask Dr. Floros and other health care providers for is obtained for the benefit of my client in negotiating a settlement and/or for use in litigation. It is a cost of preparing the demand package to facilitate a settlement and/or in anticipation of litigation. It is not a part of the health care treatment my client received, and therefore is a separate expense of litigation.
- 12. Settlement proposals from insurance adjusters are delivered to me as a total amount. When a settlement is reached, the release contains no direction from the insurer how the funds are to be disbursed between the various parts that make up the settlement amount. Language in the release of claims also fails to provide any itemization.
- In some personal injury claims, the amount obtained in settlement is not adequate to cover payment of the full amount incurred by my client for his or her medical care. Therefore, I must negotiate with the provider for a reduction in the amount the provider will accept as full compensation for the services rendered in order to settle those claims.

Further affiant sayeth naught.

JOHN J. LYNETT, JR.

Before me, a notary public, in the aforesaid county and state, personally appeared the abovenamed John J. Lynett, Jr. who acknowledged that he did sign the same and that the same was his free act and deed.

Witness my hand the

, 2018.

on this 2

day of

NOTARY PUBLI

EXHIBIT F

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN DEPE 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM Page 1 of 183 3 1 ALSO PRESENT: 1 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 2 SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO 2 John J. Reagan, Esq. MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al., Randy Andrews - videographer Plaintiffs, 3 CASE NO. CV-2016-09-3928 VOLUME II 5 -175-4 KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al., 5 Defendants. 10 11 Videotaped deposition of GARY PETTI, taken as if 10 12 upon examination before Brian A. Kuebler, a 11 13 Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio. 12 14 at the Pattakos Law Firm, 101 Ghent Road, 13 15 Fairlawn, Ohio, at 9:33 a.m. on Friday, March 1, 14 2019, pursuant to notice and/or stipulations of 17 counsel, on behalf of the Plaintiffs. 15 18 16 JK COURT REPORTING 19 17 55 PUBLIC SQUARE SUITE 1332 CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113 (216) 664-0541 20 18 21 19 www.jarkub.com 20 23 21 24 22 25 23 24 25 APPEARANCES: 1 INDEX EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. PATTAKOS
EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. MANNION
EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. RUBIN
EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. KEDIR
BY MR. KEDIR
BE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. PATTAKOS
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. MANNION
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. MANNION
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. RUBIN
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. RUBIN
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI
BY MR. RUBIN
RE-EXAMINATION
GARY M. PETTI Peter Pattakos, Esq. 2 2 Rachel Hazelet, Esq. 101 Ghent Road Akron, Ohio 44333 (330) 836-8533 3 3 6 4 4 peter@pattakoslaw.com 5 5 184 On behalf of the Plaintiffs; 6 6 Thomas P. Mannion, Esq. Lewis Brisbois 1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9467 414 7 7 8 8 424 tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com 9 461 10 and 10 James M. Popson, Esq. Sutter, O'Connell 3600 Erieview Tower 1301 East 9th Street 11 11 484 12 12 523 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 928-2200 13 13 14 jpopson@sutter-law.com 14 5 2 5 On behalf of the Defendants, Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC; 15 15 EXHIBIT INDEX 16 16 Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 Shaun H. Kedir, Esq. 16 Feagan Law
101 West Prospect Avenue, Suite 1600
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 937-2222
Skedir@feaganlaw.com 17 17 1 6 2 8 18 18 Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 4
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 5
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 6
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 7
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 8
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 9
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibits 10, 1161
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibits 12, 1371
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 14
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 15
79
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 15
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 16
83
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 17
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 18
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 18
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 19
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 20
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 21
Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 21 3 0 19 19 On behalf of the Defendant, Dr. Minas Floros, DC; 20 20 21 21 Ryan Rubin, Esq. Lewis Brisbois 22 22 1375 East 9th Street, Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 344-9467 23 23 24 Ryan.rubin@lewisbrisbois.com 24 25 On behalf of the Defendants, 25

Sam N. Ghoubrial, MD;

Gary Petti Plaintiff's Exhibit 21

116

133

Page 40 of 57

		-3928 09-3928	MICHAEL, KATHRYN MICHAEL, KATHRYN	06/17/2019 20:16 03/21/2019 11:34			EXTO DEPE	Page 41 of 5 Page 70 of 183
				277				279
1	Q.	I don't knov	. In the affidavit who	prepared	1	Q.	Now, you would agree that th	nat's not paid until
2		the affidavit	?		2		there's actually a narrative re	eport signed by the
3	A.	Kickback is	my word		3		doctor talking about the patie	ent, true?
4	Q.	Okay.			4	A.	I don't know that. I was t	old otherwise.
5	A.	I mean i	t's certainly in the affid	avit, yeah.	5	Q.	Really?	
6		I believe th	ne payment to the chird	practor is a	6	A.	Yes.	
7		kickback.			7	Q.	What if you found out that yo	u had to have the
8	Q.	For the narr	ative report?		8		narrative report signed about	that patient
9	A.	Yep. Yep.	The narrative report ha	as no	9	A.	It wouldn't matter.	
10		independe	nt value whatsoever in	those cases and	10	Q.	Just wait. Signed about that	patient and then a
1		that it's pa	id strictly as a means to	make the	11		check was requested to pay for	
2		chiropracto	or happy.		12		report?	
3	Q.	Now, you ur	derstand that other lawye	ers both at	13	A.	It wouldn't matter.	
4		KNR and els	ewhere disagree with you	on the value	14	Q.	What if the lawyer on that ind	lividual case
5			reports, true?		15		believed in his professional ju	
6	A.		nat are doing it.		16		narrative report had value?	
7		I'm asking.	_		17	Α.	·	
8		Yeah.			18		That wouldn't be a kickback, v	would it?
9	Q.	if you don	't think it's valuable, then	VOLI	19		No, it wouldn't.	would it:
0			ne those lawyers aren't do	=	20		Okay. And different lawyers h	have different
1	Α.	No. No.		mg ic, ian .	21	٠.	judgments about what's valua	
2		Okay.			22		they?	ible to a case, don't
3		•	if Dr. Floros is getting	a kickhack	23	Α.		
4	Α.		nd he says, look, if you		24		•	Dr Flores Almen
5			ou're not going to give		25	W.	Now, you're not alleging that	·
_		mom me, y	ou ic not going to give	278	20		Square or any chiropractor or	
1		not going to	give up that 200 buck		1		actually made some time of so	280
2			me 200 bucks, too. So	-	2		actually made some type of ca	ash payments to KNR
3			from Floros or they pay	-	l .		are you?	
	^	-		the 200 bucks.	3	Α.		annakina English
4 =			ng Dr. Floros said that?	_	4 5	u.	And other than paying for a na	
5 c			no, I never heard tha u never heard somebody		5		not saying that KNR or Rob Ne	
6 7	u.		•	•	6		Redick, made any cash or other	er payments to the
7			for a prepared and typed	-out, signed	7		chiropractors, are you?	
8		narrative rep	ort		8		Other than the narrative re	ports
9		Uh-huh.			9		Right.	
)			back, did you?		10	A.	,	o, I would not be
1			ck is my word		11	_	aware of that.	
		Okay.			12	Q.	For example, you're not saying	
			ar John Lynett phrase i	t in a way	13		gets charged \$200 for the name	rative reporting,
4			ted the same thing.		14		KNR and the chiros split it?	
			n Lynett was		15		No, I don't know that.	
			's a kickback. Everyboo	dy knows it's a	16	Q.	And, in fact, did you know that	
7		kickback.			17		report is paid even when KNR	refers the client
		And he does	it anyway?		18		I did know that.	
)	A.	Yes.			19	Q.	to the chiropractor?	
)	Q.	So you're say	ing John Lynett gives kick	backs to	20	A.	I did know that.	
l		chiros?			21	Q.	So	
2	A.	Yeah. Every	body who pays the 200) bucks, it's a	22	A.	Thirty to nothing though, ri	ght?
				l l			MR. KEDIR: Wh	

25 A. Yes.

24 Q. That's your opinion?

24

25

though.

THE WITNESS: Thirty to nothing

03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN DEPE Page 81 of 183 321 1 and what the patient's prognosis is." Did I read A. -- said it was useless. 2 that correctly? Q. -- what I asked. About whether they had time to 3 A. Whether it makes it easy for them is a question 3 sort through --4 for a layperson to answer, not for me. 4 A. No, I didn't ask them about their schedule 5 5 Q. Did I read it correctly? ordinarily. I did hear them complain about the 6 A. You did. 6 fact that they were busy though. 7 Q. Okay. And you'd certainly agree that these 7 Q. And --8 8 claims people also have many, many files, true? A. But I do think their employer expected them to 9 9 A. True. look at the stuff closely. I mean, if they were Q. Some of them have 300, 400 files, right? 10 10 sitting here answering questions under oath, that 11 A. I have no idea, but they frequently complained to 11 they would probably say, yeah, I did look at 12 me about how busy they were. 12 everything that came through, all the records and 13 Q. And they don't necessarily have time to sort 13 bills. 14 through hundreds of pages of records, do they? 14 Q. And the claims people, they have their files 15 A. I'm not guessing about what they have time for. 15 audited, don't they? 16 Q. You never wanted to find out how claims adjusters 16 A. I believe so. look at cases and analyze cases? 17 17 Q. And, in fact, when they're looking to see what 18 A. I've spoken to some. I mean, if you're asking 18 they paid, they're looking to see, hey, were 19 about that, I mean, they told me that these 19 these injuries reasonably related to the 20 reports are useless. 20 accident, that's one of the things that their 21 Q. Who? Who told you that? 21 managers and other people look at, isn't it? 22 A. Kathy Thomas at Westfield. 22 A. I don't know. 23 23 Q. Okay. Anybody else? Q. You don't know that? 24 A. Not -- I can't recall any other names. A. No, I don't know how they get audited. 25 Q. Okay. Because in that e-mail you had, you seem 25 Q. Okay. So then you may not know for any one 322 324 1 to make it sound like there were others? 1 individual claims person whether these are 2 A. Yeah. Frequently -- not frequently, but more 2 required for their files or not? 3 than once. 3 A. Only if they asked me. And like I said, I never 4 Q. Well, Kathy Thomas doesn't speak for all claims 4 gave them and nobody ever asked for a Plambeck 5 people, does she? 5 narrative report from me. 6 A. She doesn't, no. 6 Q. Do you have any explanation for why some 7 Q. She speaks for Kathy Thomas, true? 7 insurance claims people would send a letter 8 8 A. Yeah, yeah. Fair enough. And I think Kathy directly to lawyers at KNR saying, hey, do you 9 Thomas is the name. It's been a long time. 9 have a report causally relating these injuries? 10 Q. So if an insurance claims person doesn't have 10 A. From a Floros case? 11 time to look through the records and look through 11 Q. From any case. 12 and see what the injuries were and whether they 12 A. Sure. If the circumstances of the accident were 13 were related, doesn't a one or two-page report 13 such that they doubted anybody was hurt, they'd 14 help them do that? 14 say, look, you need to tell us, you know, how 15 A. If what you're saying is true and I don't know 15 this happened. You know, this is a 16 that it is, then it might. 16 one-mile-an-hour accident, I need an explanation. 17 Q. Okay. Did you ever take time to find out? 17 Q. So you'd have to look at each individual case to 18 18 A. By doing what? see whether a report was necessary? 19 Q. I'm asking you: Did you ever take any time to 19 A. Yeah. There's no way to do it on virtually every 20 find out? 20 one of them. 21 A. To find out what? 21 Q. You just can't blanketly say none of the cases 22 Q. Did you ever ask any of the claims people? 22 need reports, you can't say that, can you? 23 A. If it helps them? Yeah, I told you Kathy Thomas 23 A. Right, that's fair. 24 24 Q. And again, you'd have to look at the medical 25 25 Q. No, no, that's not -records, talk to the attorney who was involved in

CV-	2016	-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:3-	4:10 A	M	DEPE Page 83 of 183
		329			331
1		BY MR. MANNION:	1		know, there's a suggestion certainly that she
2	Q.	So can I ask you what the cost to stabilize Thera	2		sustained injuries falling off a motorcycle.
3		Reid's condition will be over the next year, can	3	Q.	Okay. But where does it say that
4		you tell me?	4	A.	Using the magic I'm sorry.
5	A.	No, I don't know.	5	Q.	it's his opinion, based upon a reasonable
6	Q.	It's not in there?	6		chiropractic probability, that the injuries she
7	A.	I don't know. I didn't look through it all.	7		sustained were due to the motor vehicle accident
8	Q.	How long would that take you to find?	8		and that the treatments rendered thus far had
9	A.	How long would it take me to find?	9		been a necessity as a result?
10	Q.	Yeah.	10	A.	Yeah, I don't
11	A.	I'm out of practice, so I don't know if it's in	11	Q.	That's not in there, is it?
12		there.	12	A.	I don't see it.
13	Q.	And you don't expect Dr. Floros or any	13	Q.	Okay. Can you tell me in there where it says
14		chiropractor to remember every patient, do you?	14		that Thera Reid had multiple risk factors that
15	A.	No.	15		would significantly lower her threshold for
16	Q.	They'd have to go back and look through the	16		injury and increase the probability of long-term
17		medical records, wouldn't they?	17		symptoms?
18	A.	They would.	18	A.	I would say that that probably is in here
19	Q.	Do you know how much time he	19		somewhere.
20	A.	Well, if you're saying they yes, they would.	20	Q.	Okay. Find it.
21	Q.	Do you know how much time it took Dr. Floros to	21	A.	In those words?
22		prepare a narrative report for Thera Reid?	22	Q.	Where it says that it lowers her threshold for
23	A.	No.	23		injury and increases the probability for
24	Q.	Any idea?	24		long-term symptoms?
25	A.	No, none.	25	Α.	Lowers her threshold for injury.
		330			332
1	Q.	Okay. So just looking through there, do you see	1	Q.	And increases her probability for long-term
2		any reference to how much it will cost to	2		symptoms.
3		stabilize her condition over the next year?	3	A.	I don't see any.
4	A.	Over the next year, so following her treatment?	4	Q.	So if that's contained in the report, that's
5	Q.	Yeah.	5		certainly something that's not contained in the
6	A.	No, I don't see anything about that.	6		records, fair?
7	Q.	It's not in there, is it?	7	A.	Yeah. What did he say were the factors? Because
8	A.	I didn't see anything about it, but again, I	8		I'm sure he didn't have it memorized so he must
9		didn't look through it all and I really don't	9		have had it written down somewhere, right?
10		want to.	10	Q.	Well, but it was a little more than that, what I
11	Q.	Well, where in there does it say that the	11		asked you, wasn't it?
12		chiropractic care was reasonable and causally	12	A.	Uh-huh.
13		related to the motor vehicle accident, in those	13	Q.	I'm not saying that there isn't documentation in
14		words, the magic legal language?	14		there of injuries, but where in there does it say
15	A.	To use using the magic language, I would	15		there's risk factors that will significantly
16		suggest he probably did not do that.	16		lower the threshold for injury and increase the
17	Q.	Okay. That's not what doctors and chiropractors	17		probability for long-term symptoms? There's not,
18		do, is it?	18		is there?
19	A.	Ordinarily, no.	19	A.	I don't see that, no.
20	Q.	Okay. In most in the vast majority of your	20	Q.	It also doesn't say that the risk factors can be
21		cases, you don't see that in the medical records,	21		subcategorized into risk factors for acute injury
22		do you?	22		and long-term symptoms, does it?
23	A.	Not that I recall. I mean, there's some	23		I didn't see that.
24		handwritten notes where he indicates that she was	24		It doesn't then talk about the risk factor for
25		a motorcycle passenger, fell off a bike. So, you	25		acute injury, does it?

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:16:00 PM EXTO Page 44 of 57

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 84 of 183

333 335

CV-	2016	-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/2	21/2019 11:34:	10 AN	1	DEPE	Page 84 of 183
			333				335
1	Α.	No, I did not see that.		1		MR. MANNION: C	kay. You're right.
2	Q.	Or the risk factors for long-term symptoms,	does	2	Q.	But before today you never saw	this report, fair?
3		it?		3	A.	That's fair, yes.	
4	A.	Nope, I didn't see it.		4	Q.	Okay. And, in fact, this has, if yo	ou look down
5	Q.	Okay. Do you know anything about Thera Re	eid's	5		at two paragraphs from the botto	om where it
6		medical treatment and her course of treatme	nt	6		starts, "Thera Reid sustained, joi	nt, disc and
7		with Dr. Floros or anybody else other than w	hat	7		ligamentous injury." Do you see	that?
8		you just looked at?		8	A.	No, I'm not looking there.	
9	A.	No, I don't know anything about Thera R	leid that's	9	Q.	Four lines up from the bottom.	
10		not on these papers.		10	A.	Four lines, yes, I see it.	
11	Q.	Okay. Do you think it's helpful to have an		11	Q.	And it says, "The cost to stabilize	her condition
12		insurance company understand that there's g	oing	12		over the next year is approximate	ely \$5,000." Did
13		to be future costs of \$5,000?		13		you see that?	
14	A.	It depends on the nature of those costs.	I mean,	14	A.	Yes, I did.	
15		as a practical matter, if the chiropractor	is	15	Q.	And that's information you didn't	find in the
16		saying, oh, my gosh, your neck is sore a	nd based	16		medical records, true?	
17		on my experience you're going to have to	o come	17	A.	That is true.	
18		back five to seven times a year for three	to four	18	Q.	And if you look at the next line w	here it talks
19		visits each and that's going to cost this,	then,	19		about reasonable chiropractic pro	bability and a
20		no, it's probably not helpful.		20		necessity as a result, that wasn't	in the medical
21		If it's, you know, that you had an ort	hopedic	21		records, was it?	
22		injury like a torn meniscus and you had s	surgery	22	A.	It wasn't, no.	
23		as a result of that and that may stiffen u	pa	23		Okay. The information	
24		couple times, then, yes, that's helpful.		24		Not that I saw.	
25	Q.	Do you know who the claims examiners was i	n	25	Q.	regarding information regard	ling the
			334				336
1		Monique Norris' case?		1		research was not in the records, v	was it?
2	A.	No, of course not. How would I know the	at?	2	A.	No.	
3	Q.	And do you know how it is that Dr. Floros dec	ided	3	Q.	Okay. If you look up here about	the multiple
4		to put those specific types of comments in Th	era	4		risk factors were present in the ca	ase of Thera
5		Reid's report as opposed to Monique Norris'		5		Reid, right under prognosis/discus	ssion. Do you
6		report or somebody else's report?		6		see that?	
7	A.	No, I don't.		7	A.	Yeah, her gender?	
8	Q.	It's something that would have been gleaned		8	Q.	No.	
9		factually from the medical records as far as hi	s	9	A.	Well, that was	
10		treatment and then he had to apply his opinion	n to	10	Q.	"Thera Reid continues to be symp	tomatic." The
11		those as to her future, true?	1	11		next line, multiple risk factors wer	re present in
12	A.	Right. Yes, I assume so. I don't know he	ow he	12		the case of Thera Reid	
13		does it.		13	A.	Right.	
14	Q.	You don't know how long it took him, do you?		14	Q.	these risk factors will serve to s	ignificantly
15	A.	No. No, I don't.		15		lower and I go on we talked a	about this
16				16	A.	And that's where it mentioned	gender, risk
17		(Thereupon, Defendant's Exhibit E was ma	arked	17		factors for acute injury, colon,	and then he
18		for purposes of identification.)	1.	18		apparently lists them, female -	
19			-	19	Q.	Well, you're not	
20	Q.	Okay. Showing you a copy of Exhibit E. And	you :	20	A.	poor head restraint	
21		certainly never seen this report before, have	:			you're not there yet. You skipp	ed a paragraph
22		you?]:	22		from me. I'm still reading the mu	
23		MR. PATTAKOS: Objection. Th	nis is	23		factors were present in the case o	·
24		the same report that I already examine	ed :	24		Right.	
25		him.		25	Q.	these risk factors will serve to s	ignificantly
		O 11.33.FF AM		226 -6			·

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:16:00 PM EXTO Page 45 of 57

MICHAEL, KATHRYN DEPE CV-2016-09-3928 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM Page 85 of 183 337 339 1 lower the threshold for injury and increase the 1 Q. -- whether it was beneficial? 2 probability for long-term symptoms. A. -- I don't have an objection to narrative 3 That wasn't in the records, was it? 3 reports. I have an objection to couching them as 4 A. Not that I saw. 4 a narrative -- couching something else as a 5 Q. And the next line wasn't in the records either, 5 narrative report. 6 was it? 6 Q. Well, you missed my question. This is a 7 7 A. Not that I saw. narrative report, true? 8 Q. Those -- you don't know that he cut and paste 8 A. I know. Like I said, I don't have an objection 9 those from anywhere, do you? 9 to it in general. Q. And to know whether this particular narrative 10 A. No. Like I said, I didn't know how he did it. 10 11 11 Q. Okay. You're not -- you can't sit here and tell report was beneficial or not, you'd have to look 12 me how the claims examiner valued this report, 12 at this case and all the records and the 13 how the attorney valued this report or what 13 negotiations, true? 14 impact it had on Thera Reid's case, can you? 14 A. Yeah, that's true. 15 A. With absolute certainly, no. 15 Q. That's true for every case, isn't it? 16 Q. Well, you can't do it because you weren't there, 16 A. It is true. 17 17 Q. Do you believe you ever committed legal were you? 18 A. That's what -- right. With absolute certainty, I 18 malpractice at KNR? 19 cannot. 19 A. At KNR, no. 20 20 Q. Did you talk to the claims examiner? Q. Okay. And we don't need to get into it, 21 21 A. Of course not. How would I? obviously there was one lawsuit you had with 22 Q. Do you know how much the case would have settled 22 legal malpractice at Slater & Zurz, true? 23 A. Yeah. We missed a statute. 23 for without this report? 24 A. No --24 Q. Okay. Would you agree that it's extremely 25 Q. You don't know, do you? 25 beneficial to a client not to have their lawyer 340 1 A. -- I don't know how much it settled for with the 1 not miss a statute? 2 report. 2 A. In this case, speaking frankly, it was probably 3 Q. Okay. And you'd agree that if the attorney on 3 the best thing that ever happened to them. 4 this case determined, in his professional 4 Q. They got more money because of that? 5 judgment, that this was a valuable report for the 5 A. For sure. It was a disputed liability Allstate 6 client's benefit, then that was up to that 6 case. 7 7 attorney to make that professional judgment, Q. Okay. 8 true? 8 A. We 41A'ed it and there were instructions that she 9 A. Yeah, if an individual attorney makes that 9 be advised that she needed to find a new lawver 10 decision then they're allowed to do that. 10 who would re-file within a year, and we could 11 Q. These aren't like the reports that you were 11 find no evidence that we actually sent her that 12 talking about with the future care of 5,000 and 12 letter. So factually that's what happened there. 13 things of that nature, is it? 13 I was the lawyer of record on that. 14 A. Future care was contained in the other ones. 14 Q. Okay. Generally speaking though, it's not 15 That --15 beneficial to a client to miss statutes, is it? 16 Q. With the cost? 16 A. No, you don't want to do that. 17 A. Yeah. The cost of the future care. 17 Q. Okay. If you miss the statute of limitations and 18 Q. And you don't think that's beneficial? 18 don't get to file the case whether it's from the 19 A. In most cases, no. In some cases, it is. 19 41A or whether it's the original statute, that 20 Q. Okay. You don't know in this case whether it was 20 could have detrimental effects on a client? 21 or not, fair? 21 A. It sure could. 22 A. Fair. 22 Q. I mean, do you think it's beneficial to have 23 Q. You'd have to look at each individual case to 23 checks and balances at a law firm to make sure 24 determine --24 you don't miss a statute? 25 A. My objection --25 A. Yes --

		0-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:10 -09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:3			EXTO DEPE	Page 46 of 57 Page 104 of 183
		413				415
1	A.	I did know about it because I and I described	1		& Zurz clients, yes. Gary i	Petti clients, no.
2		this already, I'm not trying to be evasive or	2	Q.	To your knowledge, was Dr.	Ghoubrial involved in
3		difficult, it seems as though you are, I said I	3		treating any of your clients a	t KNR?
4		made arrangements for it. I tried to talk the	4	A.	Don't have any independe	nt recollection of that.
5		guy out of it, I made arrangements for it. I	5	Q.	In the nine or so months that	you worked at KNR,
6		knew it was there, but at that point you've got	6		you don't personally recall re	viewing any
7		400 or 500 clients who are calling you all the	7		settlement memorandum or o	charges from Dr.
8		time, but you do it, the paperwork comes in, you	8		Ghoubrial, do you?	
9		shuffle it across and that's what happened.	9	A.	I don't have any specific re	ecollection of it, no.
10	Q.	But you do agree it was your duty to crosscheck	10	Q.	But to your knowledge, Dr. G	houbrial would
11		the expenses on the settlement memorandum, true?	11		provide care and treatment to	patients who did
12	A.	Yes. It's her duty to put it in there so I can	12		not have insurance?	
13		see it so I don't have	13	A.	To my recollection, yes.	
14	Q.	It's your duty to crosscheck it?	14		And referrals to Dr. Ghoubria	l, to your
15	A.	And then my duty to look at what she put in	15		knowledge, came from either	chiropractors or
16		there. And she didn't put it in there is what	16		other medical providers?	,
17		I'm trying to say. At least that's the way I	17	Α.	To my knowledge. I know	I never referred
18		remember it and that's what this seems to	18		anything to him.	
19		support	19	Q.	And you are not personally av	vare of any direct
20	Q.	But it is your	20		referral from KNR to Dr. Ghou	·
21		but that's a minor point	21	Α.	I'm not personally aware o	
22		but it is your duty to crosscheck those	22		Most of your work at KNR inve	
23		expenses, true?	23		chiropractors, not doctors like	_
24	A.	Right, yes. And it appears I did that.	24	A.	That's my recollection.	
25		And that means all the expenses on the settlement	25		And you'd agree of course you	u're not a doctor or
		414				416
1		memorandum, true?	1		a chiropractor?	
2	A.	Yes. Yes.	2	A.	That's correct.	
3		MR. MANNION: Okay. I don't have	3	Q.	And you're not qualified to off	er anv medical
4		anything further.	4		opinions or chiropractic opinio	
5			5	A.	I'm not qualified to do that	
6		EXAMINATION OF GARY M. PETTI	6		I believe you said your caselo	
7		BY MR. RUBIN:	7		400 or 500 cases with KNR?	g
8	Q.	All right? Sir, my name is Ryan Rubin. I	8	A.	An absolute guess. A lot.	
9		represent Dr. Ghoubrial. I just have some	9		Are you aware, given your yea	ars of work in the
10		follow-up questions for you, okay?	10		personal injury industry, that	
11	A.	Sure thing.	11		adjusters would likely often tir	
12	Q.	I think I heard you testify earlier that many	12		more than 400 or 500 cases o	
13		clients, especially for those without insurance,	13	A.	I never heard anybody say	
14		it could be hard for them to find a medical	14		couple of conversations wit	
15		doctor?	15		representatives, typically d	
16	A.	That's right.	16		mediation or something like	
17		You met Dr. Ghoubrial when you worked at Slater &	17		complaining about their cas	
18		Zurz?	18		my recollection is that none	-
19	A.	Yes.	19		many cases as I thought I i	
20		You never personally referred a client to Dr.	20	Q.	But is it your recollection that	
	•-					,

Ghoubrial?

Q. And to your knowledge, Dr. Ghoubrial provided

care to some of your clients at Slater & Zurz?

22 A. I don't think so.

21

23

24

21

22

23

24

25

motor vehicle accidents did at least have a

 ${\bf Q}.\;\;$ Given your interactions with insurance adjusters

over the years, do you generally know that these

A. They -- yeah, I believe they're busy.

significant caseload?

MICHAEL, KATHRYN CV-2016-09-3928 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 105 of 183 417 419 1 insurance adjusters are looking to verify certain 1 report? 2 facts before they put money on a case? 2 A. Frequently. 3 A. Yeah. 3 Q. Have you ever paid more than \$1,000 for an expert 4 Q. Like, for example, how the accident occurred, 4 report? 5 they want to know that? 5 A. Yes. Well, the firm has. 6 A. Sure. 6 Q. How about has Slater & Zurz ever paid more than 7 7 Q. They want to know whether or not their insured is 2,000 for an expert report? 8 negligent? 8 A. On one of my cases probably, but I don't remember 9 A. Right. 9 specifically. 10 Q. Whether or not their insured's negligence caused 10 Q. Mr. Mannion previously gave you a stack of 11 an injury? 11 medical records related to Thera Reid? 12 A. Yes. 12 A. I seem to recall that. 13 Q. Insurance adjusters then want to potentially look 13 MR. MANNION: You said the firm 14 at what the magnitude of damage may be? 14 did it. 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. Let me jump back to a prior question. 16 Q. And then in support of damages, insurance 16 When you were at Slater & Zurz and the firm 17 adjusters, it's typically important, to your 17 spent several hundred or several thousand dollars 18 knowledge, for them to get medical records and 18 on expert reports, did they charge that to the 19 medical bills? 19 client as an expense on the case? 20 20 A. Yes, absolutely. A. Yeah, they charge it back to the extent they 21 Q. Once insurance adjusters get proof that they 21 recover -- that we recover -- we did recover. 22 need, to your knowledge, they then set a reserve 22 They didn't -- sometimes they would -- their 23 on how much money they need to potentially settle 23 contingency fee contract had a provision in it 24 24 a case? where they -- in litigation they could require 25 25 A. That's my understanding. the client to pay it in the cost of the 418 420 1 Q. And in litigation you know that insurance 1 litigation? 2 2 adjusters often consider whether or not the Q. Even if you lost? 3 A. Yep, in advance. It was leverage that they used opposing party, like the plaintiff, has an expert 3 4 4 to get people to settle. If they had somebody 5 A. In litigation -- typically, of course in 5 who was unreasonable, you know, if they got a 6 litigation you're going to require an expert 6 good offer, but somebody wanted triple what the 7 7 report by the trial order -- by the court's offer is, they'd say, all right, well, if you 8 pretrial orders. So I suppose if you didn't have 8 want to gamble, let's gamble with your money. 9 one that would work against you, but typically by 9 Q. So Slater & Zurz would sometimes leverage 10 the time you get to litigation, you're going to 10 expenses against their clients to achieve a 11 have one. You know you need one. 11 settlement? 12 12 A. Yep. Yes. Q. Right. So it's mandatory? 13 A. Yeah. But I'm not looking at it -- and I don't 13 Q. Do you have that stack of medical records related 14 know, I can't speak for everybody, but you're not 14 to Thera Reid? 15 A. I think you're referring to Defendant's Exhibit doing that at that point because your -- it 15 16 relates to the offer necessarily but because if 16 D3 17 you don't produce a report, your expert is not 17 Q. Iam. 18 going to be able to testify. 18 A. I do have it. 19 Q. How long do you think it would take you to give Q. Do you know whether or not possession of an 19 20 expert report increases potential value of a 20 me a comprehensive summary of the patient's 21 case? 21 global care from all of those records? 22 A. It could. 22 A. I'm out of practice; however, I don't know, an 23 Q. Did you ever hire experts while at Slater & Zurz? 23 hour. 24 A. Yes. Yep. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. And in terms of prognosis, I presume it 25 Q. Have you ever paid more than \$200 for an expert 25 would take a while for you to give a

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:16:00 PM EXTO Page 48 of 57

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 106 of 183 421 1 comprehensive assessment of Ms. Reid's entire 1 estimated an hour of time, to read and summarize 2 prognosis based on all of those records? 2 just those records, you would agree it would 3 3 A. Yeah, it would take a while. presumably take anyone preparing a narrative 4 Q. And to get a comprehensive summary of all 4 report summarizing those medical records some 5 treatment she received in all the pages contained 5 period of time? 6 in those records, it would take a while as well, 6 A. Some period of time for sure. You know, like I 7 fair? 7 mentioned, there are the programs and things like 8 A. That's fair. 8 that and whether he prepared them himself or Q. Wouldn't you agree, it's a lot easier for a busy 9 9 delegated that to someone, I have no way of 10 insurance adjuster to look at a single page or 10 knowing. 11 maybe two pages as opposed to reviewing all of 11 Q. You wouldn't expect a medical professional to 12 those records for an hour or more as you just generate such work product summarizing medical 12 13 said? 13 records for free, would you? 14 A. It would be easier, yes -- well, I don't know. 14 A. No, I wouldn't expect it. 15 Maybe, maybe not. It's hard to say. I mean, it 15 Q. You haven't compared narrative reports from 16 would be easier, but, you know, in this business 16 several different KNR clients at any point in 17 people are -- you know, you've got to be trusting 17 time in the last seven years, have you? 18 if you're going to read the narrative report and 18 A. No, I have not. 19 not read all the notes because you're only going 19 Q. So you can't testify as to whether or not they're 20 to get the highlights, so maybe you've got to 20 identical or different? 21 read both, almost certainly you've got to read 21 A. Right, I don't know. 22 both, to make sure that the report -- you know, 22 Q. You can't say whether or not they're simple or 23 the items contained in the report are supported 23 complex? 24 by what's in the records. So I don't think it's 24 A. You're correct. 25 a short cut. 25 Q. If preparation of a narrative report is delegated 422 424 1 Q. But if you read both and verify that the report 1 to some staff member of a chiropractor, that 2 is supported by the records --2 staff member still is working and getting paid 3 A. Uh-huh. 3 for their job, fair? 4 Q. -- then it would be a quick reference for an 4 A. Yes, that's fair. 5 insurance adjuster to go back? 5 Q. And then you would expect if a professional like 6 A. Reference later on, yeah, sure, but you know they 6 a chiropractor is putting their name on a 7 have to do -- they have all kinds of summaries 7 narrative report, even if one of their staff 8 and stuff like that that they have to generate on 8 member prepares the narrative, that that 9 their own, which I've seen some of them. I 9 chiropractor should read over and verify that 10 assume that maybe others will, you know, 10 they can support the contents of the narrative 11 dependent on what the protocol is for each 11 report, fair? 12 carrier, they may allow them to use a medical 12 A. That's what they should do, yes. 13 record instead of something that they generate 13 MR. RUBIN: All right. Thank you, 14 themselves. 14 sir. I have nothing further. 15 Q. The -- I'm not going to re-ask questions 15 16 16 Mr. Mannion already asked about all the different **EXAMINATION OF GARY M. PETTI** 17 components contained in Ms. Reid's narrative 17 BY MR. KEDIR: 18 report that's not in those medical records --18 Q. Hello, Mr. Petti. 19 A. Uh-huh. 19 A. Hi there. 20 Q. -- but I presume you wouldn't be surprised if 20 Q. My name is Attorney Shaun Kedir. I represent Dr. 21 there's plenty more items that you didn't even 21 22 address in the questions with Mr. Mannion that 22 A. Nice to meet you, Shaun. 23 are not contained in the medical records? 23 Q. Nice to meet you, too. First of all, have you 24 A. That's fair. 24 talked to Dr. Floros on the phone before? 25 A. There's a likelihood that I have. I can't say Q. Given that it would take you, I think you 25

MICHAEL, KATHRYN DEPE CV-2016-09-3928 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM Page 107 of 183 425 427 1 that I recall it specifically though. You know, 1 Floros about narrative -- his narrative reports? 2 I've been aware of Dr. Floros for however many 2 A. No. 3 years he's been there, so I never dealt with him Q. Did you ever talk about narrative fees? 4 much though. A. None. 5 Q. You didn't deal with him much. So would that be 5 Q. So you mostly just talked about the intake? 6 6 over the -- how many months did you work at KNR Yeah. Just a little bit. I mean, sometimes, if 7 7 I remember correctly, sometimes he would say a 8 A. I worked at KNR only for nine months, but at 8 little bit about the nature of the crash or the 9 9 Slater & Zurz I worked there for 15 years -underlying facts. You know, this is a big 10 Q. Okay. 10 accident, multiple parties in it. He'd try to 11 A. -- and I did have -- before Floros started at 11 give you the heads up that there are more people 12 Akron Square, I did have a relationship with 12 here, potential clients, potential patients, than 13 13 chiropractors, multiple, who were there, and then the person whom you're speaking with at that 14 14 I don't think any, once Floros started, but maybe moment, but very, very limited conversation with 15 15 one or two, because who can remember such things. Dr. Floros. 16 Q. So between the time you were at KNR, would you 16 Q. Limited? But that sounds a little bit more 17 estimate that you talked to Floros maybe 30 17 involved though if he's trying to give the 18 18 background information on it. 19 19 A. Oh, I wasn't even thinking of that. Yeah, if A. Not really the background. I mean, 30 seconds. 20 they call and say there's somebody here. You 20 Q. Thirty seconds? 21 mean that kind of talk to him? 21 A. I'm guessing. I mean, again, there wasn't a lot 22 Q. Whatever -- just if you ever talked to him. 22 to talk about. You know, here they are, do what 23 A. Probably less than that. 23 you do. 24 24 Q. Less than that? Q. How many cases did you have where Floros was the 25 A. I was doing a lot of intakes, that much is clear. 25 treating doctor? 426 428 1 Q. What's the procedure if, say, Floros or Akron 1 A. No idea. Not a lot. I kind of found that odd 2 2 Square calls over and they want to get ahold of that, you know, he referred a bunch and 3 3 you? statistically it was true, but I didn't get -- in 4 A. I think it went to the intake department first 4 the limited amount of intakes I was doing, he did 5 and then the intake department would hit the 5 not seem to be a high percentage. For whatever 6 6 special ringer button and whoever answers it, reason I would get Dr. Briggs at Valley Spine it 7 usually the doctor would be on the other line and 7 seemed like quite a bit and then the Cincinnati 8 they'd say, you know, I'm here with Mrs. Smith guy, Maurer, that's who that was, Jason Maurer, 9 and Mrs. Smith was in an accident, they want to 9 at Werkmore and Vernon Place, but I didn't hit 10 10 talk to you about representation. Floros very much. 11 11 Q. Okay. And would they ever talk to you -- only Q. Would you estimate more than ten? 12 during that intake was the only reason they would 12 A. It would be a pure guess, but I would say 13 call or would they ever call you for other 13 probably, yes, more than ten, but not -- I mean, 14 reasons? 14 if you said 30, I would say, no. So again, I'm 15 A. I think that's probably the only time I ever 15 just guessing. That was never any significance 16 spoke to Floros while I was at KNR, just two 16 to me. You know, I have no -- I would have no 17 seconds on the phone, whereas he hands it off to 17 reason to recall that even tomorrow on where the 18 somebody else. 18 cases came from. 19 Q. Okay. And did Floros, did he ever give 19 Q. Okay. So your experience with Floros was a lot 20 information to your paralegal, Jennings, that she 20 more limited compared to some of the other chiros 21 related to you? 21 that you dealt with --22 A. No, not that I can recall. 22 A. Yeah --23 Q. That you can't recall? 23 Q. -- at KNR? 24 A. Right. It's possible. 24 A. -- it just seemed like I ended up speaking to --25 Q. Okay. Did you ever mention when you talked to 25 I never got the close ones when the phone rang.

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:16:00 PM EXTO Page 50 of 57

MICHAEL, KATHRYN DEPE CV-2016-09-3928 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM Page 108 of 183 429 1 And as far as I know, it was just random. You 1 but that was the cost of doing business, 2 know, I got Toledo, Dr. Lee-Seyon out there and 2 otherwise it would all go to KNR. Dayton and Cincinnati often. Not hardly any 3 3 Q. So you only heard this from John Lynett though, 4 Canton or Akron. 4 you didn't hear this from Floros? 5 Q. Okay. We're going to go back to I guess your --5 A. No, I don't know that I ever had a direct 6 I guess it's Exhibit 1, the affidavit --6 face-to-face conversation with Floros in my life. 7 A. Uh-huh. 7 Q. So any speculation you have here about Floros, 8 Q. -- Plaintiff's Exhibit. Can you pull that back 8 the kickback, is a speculation because you 9 out? 9 haven't actually talked about it with him? 10 A. Yeah, let me see if I can find it. 10 A. He certainly never admitted to me that he was 11 Q. Okay. I just want to verify paragraph two, if 11 accepting or soliciting kickbacks from people. 12 you can go to that. 12 MR. PATTAKOS: I'm going to object 13 A. Yep. 13 to speculation. There's a difference 14 Q. You mentioned, while I was working for Slater & 14 between -- you guys keep using speculation 15 Zurz, I first learned that KNR paid kickbacks to 15 as to refer to reasonable inferences, so 16 certain chiropractors in the form of narrative 16 I'm just going to object to that. 17 17 fees? MR. MANNION: No, you're just 18 A. Right. 18 trying to coach the witness, Peter, so call 19 Q. Do you have any evidence that Floros. Dr. 19 it what it is. 20 20 Floros --MR. PATTAKOS: Okay. 21 A. Yeah, he was a big referral source. That's --21 BY MR. KEDIR: 22 Q. Well, did they mention Dr. Floros when they 22 Q. Next it says -- let's see here, I'm trying not to 23 said --23 go over some of the questions we already talked 24 A. Yeah. 24 about here --25 Q. -- that there was a kickback? 25 MR. PATTAKOS: When the Court has 430 432 A. It was really -- you know, John Lynett's 1 to construe all reasonable inferences in 2 2 affidavit is not shocking because he has to the plaintiff's favor --3 defend his own behavior, but John Lynett dealt 3 MR. MANNION: Would you stop it? 4 with Dr. Floros all the time and they absolutely 4 MR. PATTAKOS: -- are you going to 5 referred to it in terms substantially similar to 5 accuse the Court --6 a kickback. 6 MR. MANNION: Stop it. 7 Q. Well, how do you define "kickback"? 7 MR. PATTAKOS: -- of speculating? A. It is payment in return for -- an illicit payment 8 8 MR. MANNION: Stop it. 9 9 in return for something. MR. PATTAKOS: It will be your 10 Q. In return for something? 10 appeal brief. 11 A. In this case, you know, they're paying Dr. Floros 11 BY MR. KEDIR: 200 bucks for referrals, and I know as we already Q. Lets go to actually paragraph three. 12 12 13 covered they do it either way, but that's what it 13 A. Okav. 14 is. 14 Q. It says I always understood that narrative 15 Q. And you heard John Lynett tell you that he pays 15 reports were properly used to allow medical 16 illicit payments for referrals? 16 professionals to explain why plaintiff's injuries 17 A. Substantially similar language to that, yes. 17 were different or more challenging than they 18 Q. Can you state the exact language that he used --18 might appear from the contents of the medical 19 A. I cannot say it, no. I mean, now we're talking 19 records --20 about things that whenever this whole procedure 20 A. Uh-huh. 21 first started, ten, 12 years ago, whenever Rob 21 Q. -- and in doing so provides information that was invented the narrative report thing, according to 22 22 not included in the records? 23 Brandy. So, no, I don't remember. 23 A. Oh, yeah, that's fair. 24 But I absolutely remember crystal clear that 24 Q. Who told you this? 25 they resented the fact that they had to do that, 25 A. Pardon me?

CV-	-2016	i-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:3	4:10 A	M	DEPE	Page 109 of 183
		433				435
1	Q.	Who told you this?	1		than that this, correct? Then this	then
2	Α.	That's based on my experience in litigation.	2		for where the injuries are challenging	ng and
3	Q.	Just your experience?	3		difficult?	
4	A.	Seminars, stuff like that. I mean, based on the	4	A.	There can be circumstances, sur	e, but that's a
5		sum total of my experience. So a conversation	5		case by case base determination	that you have to
6		with other lawyers, my personal experience. All	6		make. You can't just blanket sa	y, we're doing
7		that stuff. I mean at Slater & Zurz until they	7		them all the time. That's ridicul-	ous. Because
8		started paying what's his name, Floros, you know,	8		they don't do it all the time.	
9		it was a thoughtful consideration before you do a	9		If it's valuable all the time in	Plambeck
10		narrative report. You know, why do I need it?	10		ones, why isn't it valuable all the	e time in
11		What is it going to accomplish? Is it going to	11		Dollison Chiropractic out of Cam	bridge cases?
12		benefit the client in relation to the amount of	12		I mean you're going to have	to convince
13		money that we're spending. And that's why I	13		someone of that, if not me, that	either every
14		mean, Slater & Zurz and KNR, they don't pay	14		case needs one or every case do	esn't. What makes
15		anybody else narrative report fees. They don't.	15		what makes Dr. Floros and the	
16		Not routinely. You can scoff all you want, but	16		special.	J.,
17		show me that, you know, Dr. Tubbs of Cuyahoga	17	Q.	So you think every case should be to	reated
18		Falls is getting narrative report fees every time	18		follow the same format and the sam	
19		he every time they have a case with him. Show	19	Α.	No	
20		me that Dr. Favor at Akron Injury on V Odom is	20	Q.	medical care?	
21		getting a narrative report fee every time they	21		everyone should be on its own	facts. And once
22		have a case with him. They're not.	22		you do virtually and because y	
23	Q.	And if they did get a referral fee you would	23		virtually every one the same, the	_
24		assume speculate or	24		well, there's more than that. I'v	
25	Α.	Well, if they did it every now and then, I would	25		explained all the reasons why I t	-
		434			,	436
1		suspect that there was reasonable grounds for it.	1		kickback or why it is a kickback.	
2		If they were doing it every time, then it's a	2	Q.	Well, you're calling it a kickback, but	•
3		kickback.	3		testified that he puts time and effort	-
4		MR. PATTAKOS: You said "referral	4		reports?	
5		fee", did you mean narrative fee?	5	Α.	He does, but I don't know how m	uch. And frankly
6		MR. MANNION: Yeah, you did say	6		the fact is 200 bucks to me sugge	-
7		referral fee.	7		it's not that valuable. I mean, if	
8		THE WITNESS: Yeah, and I'm	8		valuable, he'd charge for it. I me	•
9		MR. KEDIR: Narrative fee, Yeah,	9		a real doctor, a regular doctor,	
10		I'm sorry, narrative fee.	10		a narrative report for 200 bucks,	-
11	Α.	And I answered your question, I should have	11		DOs.	you know, 1-103,
12		clarified it. I heard	12	Q.	Do you have any proof or is this spec	rulation?
13	Q.	Yeah, I should have I messed	13		It's not speculation. It's based o	
14	Α.	I assumed that that's what you were referring	14		of litigation experience, conversa	
15	• • •	to.	15		other lawyers, CLEs, all that kind	
16		MR. PATTAKOS: You assumed he was	16		Nobody charges 200 bucks for a	
17		referring to narrative fee?	17		not nobody, but that's hyperbole.	• •
18		THE WITNESS: Narrative fee, yes,	18		people, it's got to be tiny.	The amount of
19		yes.	19		And I don't understand how s	uddenly it went
20		MR. RUBIN: But he did say referal	20		from and I'm sure there's evidence	
21		fee.	21		that supports this KNR went no	
22		THE WITNESS: Right. Right.	22		none with Dr. Floros, none with D	
23		BY MR. KEDIR:	23		all of a sudden it's like a switch, a	
24	Q.	But you just talked about earlier that these	24		MR. MANNION: Object	
25	~•	reports are beneficial for other purposes just	25	Α.	Or virtually all of them.	.c.o.
		reporte are beneficial for other purposes just	20	Λ.	or virtually all of them.	

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 110 of 183 437 439 1 MR. MANNION: Objection. Move to 1 KNR. 2 strike. 2 Q. Do you think Dr. Floros would have any reason to 3 A. I'm speculating like crazy right there. 3 know that he should have additional information Q. I'm glad you're speculating. 4 in his narrative reports to make it more valuable 5 A. It's a little bit frustrating because I mean -- I 5 as you would call it? 6 probably shouldn't say this --6 A. Would he have any reason to know? No, probably 7 Q. Say it. 7 8 A. Some of you in this room are being obtuse 8 Q. Because he doesn't practice law, correct? 9 because it's your job. It's a kickback. And 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. And he doesn't submit it to the insurance that I'm trying to push water up hill when you're 10 11 essentially paid to not believe me or paid --11 company? 12 when I was a defense lawyer, I used to say I made 12 A. He doesn't, nope. 13 -- I was a lemonade manufacturer. Life gives you 13 Q. In fact, he doesn't submit it to the client 14 lemons, you make lemonade. So it doesn't matter 14 either, correct? 15 what people tell you, you twist it, squeeze it, 15 A. That's -- the client has no use for it, that I'm 16 add a little sugar to it, now it's something I 16 aware of. 17 can use. So to be in this setting where I really 17 Q. So it's just an exchange between him and the 18 believe in the -- that some of you in this room 18 attorney? 19 know what I'm saying is true, but you can't just 19 A. Yep. He sends it to the lawyer, the lawyer does 20 say it because you're getting paid to do 20 with it whatever they do. 21 something else. 21 Q. And the attorney doesn't have to necessarily **22 Q.** So you think all of the other attorneys that work 22 collect that from the client? 23 on these cases and accept --23 A. Collect what? 24 A. Some of those guys -- oh, you should finish your 24 Q. The narrative fee. 25 auestion. 25 A. No, no. The law firm could eat that cost. 438 440 1 Q. -- and accept the narrative fee payment, that all Q. And sometimes they don't collect it from the 2 of those cases are people engaged in kickback 2 client, correct? schemes, all of those attorneys? 3 A. I don't know that. 4 A. The ones at KNR who do that. 4 Q. Well, if the case isn't settled then they have to 5 Q. Any attorney who does it? 5 eat that cost, correct? 6 A. If you have a procedure where you're paying a 6 A. Yeah, yeah. 7 chiropractor over and over -- a certain 7 Q. You said a narrative fee is paid on every case 8 group of chiropractors and no others on virtually 8 that Floros sends him? 9 every instance that you're paying a narrative 9 A. No, not -- virtually every, I think was the words 10 report fee to that doctor, then there's a strong 10 I used. 11 possibility that it's a kickback. I'm just 11 Q. Virtually every? 12 guessing. I don't have any personal experience 12 A. Yes. I know they had a prohibition against doing 13 with that. In this case I do. 13 it for minors, stuff like that, but to me that 14 You know, Brandy said the thing about Rob 14 was -- that's only because typically they -- you 15 15 inventing the narrative report thing, that's when barely treat minors. Insurance companies don't 16 business really took off. They're all the things 16 like soft-tissue injuries on minors, as you guys 17 I talked about. The other chiropractor telling 17 probably know. So they expect them to heal 18 me that, you know, look, if you want any cases 18 better with very little treatment. So if a guy 19 19 out of me, you've got to give me -- essentially, goes for -- or a kid, eight-year-old kid goes for 20 20 you're going to have to get a narrative report six visits to a chiropractor, the bill is only 21 21 fee every time. going to be 300 bucks, the settlement is going to 22 Q. But this was not Dr. Floros that told you that? 22 be 500, pay Dr. Floros 200. How are you going to 23 No, it was not. It was -- 90 percent certainty 23 get that case settled? You're not. So no 24 it was the West Tusc guy, but I don't remember 24 narratives for little kids because Floros isn't 25 who. That was prior to me starting there, at 25 going to treat them.

CV-	2016	6-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/201	9 11:34:1	10 AI	И	DEPE	Page 111 of 183
		44	1				443
1	Q.	. Would Floros charge a narrative if the patient	1	1		were ridiculous. And mayb	e Slater & Zurz's are
2		stopped treating early, if you know?	- 1	2		different, maybe he did a d	ifferent one for
3	Α.	. I don't know.		3		Slater & Zurz. I'm not sure	. And maybe those
4	Q.	. Let's go to let's go to paragraph four.	- 1	4		are the ones I have in my h	nead where it's
5	A.	Yes.		5		essentially fill in the blank,	yes/no, yes/no, I
6	Q.	. You said I soon learned that these narrative		6		don't know that because I	never I would only
7		reports ordered by KNR were very different from		7		have seen them incidentally	y.
8		narrative reports I was customarily using		8		And then the ones I saw	w at KNR, at least the
9	A.	Uh-huh.		9		ones I handled, again, seen	ned to be different.
10	Q.	and were essentially worthless, containing no		10		My recollection is anyhow s	seemed to be different
11		information that was not already apparent from		11		than the ones I've seen her	re today.
12		the client's medical records.		12	Q.	Okay. But the ones you see h	ere today
13	A.	Right.		13	A.	Those are more advanced.	
14	Q.	We already went through this before, but it's		14	Q.	They're more advanced. And t	they contain
15		do you stand by that statement?		15		information that is not from th	e medical records?
16	A.	Yeah. I don't think even these ones have muc	h	16	A.	They do. Nonetheless I wo	uld still contend that
17		value. You know, he repeats the studies and		17		they have no value.	
18		stuff like that that		18	Q.	And it would take you, I think	you approximated,
19	Q.	Yeah, let me clarify it. That contains		19		maybe an hour to go through	Thera Reid's
20		information readily already apparent from the		20	A.	Yeah.	
21		client's medical records?		21	Q.	and have a similar	
22	A.	It does contain some. These are better than t	ne	22	A.	an hour.	
23		ones that I historically had seen		23	Q.	Do you know how much Dr. Flo	oros gets paid per
24		MR. PATTAKOS: You're just to		24		hour when he testifies?	
25		be clear, you're referring		25	A.	When he testifies, no, I do i	not. My recollection
		442					444
1		MR. MANNION: Stop it. He's in		1		is they cut separate deals o	n those, it depends
2		the middle stop it		2		on who you're what law f	irm you were with.
3		MR. PATTAKOS: You're referring to		3	Q.	If I told you a doctor would cha	arge \$500 per hour
4				4		for testimony, do you think tha	it's unusual?
5		MR. MANNION: he's in the		5	A.	I think that's more than wh	
6		middle of an answer.		6		for Dr. Floros with a friendly	
7		MR. PATTAKOS: you're referring		7		far as like an MD, a specialis	
8		to Monique Norris and Thera Reid's		8		that, then I'm not surprised	-
9		narrative reports?		9		I'm certain there are some t	that would charge more
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.	- 1	10		than 500 an hour.	
11		MR. MANNION: Peter, this is	- 1	11	Q.	Okay. And it's your belief that	
12		craziness. He was in the middle of an	- 1	12		this narrative report, he should	have done it for
13		answer.	- 1	13		free?	_
14		THE WITNESS: As it turns out he's	- 1	14	A.	No, I don't think the narration	·
15		exactly correct.	- 1	15		necessary. That's my persp	
16		MR. MANNION: But he has to wait	- 1	16		the narrative was necessary	
17 18		until you stop and then he can try to	- 1	17	_	narrative report is a pretens	
19	Α.	clarify. Nonetheless	- 1	18 19		But when they request Floros, h	
20	А.		- 1			to get compensated for his work	·
21		MR. MANNION: Don't shake your head, you're not allowed to do that.		20 21		I don't really know how to a I don't think it's worth 200 l	•
22	Α.	the narratives that I've seen today, I have		22			
23	Α.	not seen before today. And as I said, they seen	- 1	23		of these soft-tissue injury ca	-
24		to have evolved. I have a mental image of wha	- 1	:3 24		one at all, which is why KNR any other chiropractor not a	-
25		they used to look like way back when and they	- 1	. 4 25			mateu Witii
		they used to look like way back whell and they				Plambeck.	

MICHAEL, KATHRYN CV-2016-09-3928 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 112 of 183 445 Q. I'm just saying, the fact when they order it from 1 1 treatment it is, why he's going to need it, not 2 Floros, Floros is allowed to charge for work he 2 just, oh, he's going to need 5,000, so an 3 performs, correct? 3 insurance company is not going to pay for that. 4 A. Yeah. If you do work, you should get paid for it 4 Q. Those narrative reports you're talking about, the 5 as a general principle. But you understand the 5 other ones you see, what doctors? 6 distinction that I'm trying to make that, you 6 A. I don't recall any names. I mean, we're 7 know, they're really not valuable to the case so 7 talking--8 it shouldn't have been ordered in the first 8 Q. You don't recall any. Okay. Were they medical 9 9 doctors? Q. I'm looking at it from Floros' position, he put 10 A. Yeah, I almost never get a chiropractor. 10 11 work --11 Q. How much do they charge for those reports? 12 A. Right --12 A. Significantly more, way more? 13 Q. -- and put time into this. 13 Q. Significantly more. 14 A. -- I understand. I understand. 14 A. Yep. Way more. 15 Q. They weren't just paying him for doing nothing 15 Q. On a smaller case that went to litigation, would 16 whether you think it's valuable for the end of 16 you want to charge an expert report for that --17 the case, Floros was putting --17 A. No --18 A. Yeah, he did some kind of work. 18 Q. -- significantly more than \$200? 19 Q. And up to an hour is what you estimated on --19 A. -- definitely not. I won't pay the 200 bucks on 20 A. Well, I don't know how long it would take him. I 20 a little case. 21 said I'm out of practice and all that kind of 21 Q. But you talked about how if it goes to court you 22 stuff, so --22 need an expert report? 23 Q. It's just your guess it was? 23 A. Yeah, once it goes to litigation, I need an 24 A. Yeah. 24 expert report. Q. And sometimes cases don't go to -- aren't filed 25 Q. I think you said the narrative report provided --25 446 448 1 next in that same paragraph you said the 1 to go to court for like two years, until the 2 narrative report provided by Dr. Minos Floros for 2 statute of limitation --3 Akron Square Chiropractor, a Plambeck-owned 3 A. Exactly. 4 clinic in Akron, were especially bad and the 4 Q. And then sometimes --5 worst narratives I've ever seen. 5 A. So you have plenty of time to settle without 6 A. Yeah. 6 spending the 200 bucks. 7 Q. Who had better narrative reports? 7 Q. Sometimes it doesn't go to trial say for another A. Everybody, 8 six months or three months? 9 Q. Everyone. 9 A. Yes, absolutely. 10 A. You know, regular MDs, DOs, stuff like that. I 10 Q. You think it's better to get a report then --11 mean, you can really get -- and sometimes they're 11 A. Yes. 12 a very important tool to, you know, explain what 12 Q. -- two and a half years later? 13 somebody's future is going to hold with respect 13 A. Yes. The doctor -- if the doctor is going to 14 to future medical care. 14 testify, he or she is going to need to be fresh 15 And even the blurb he put in the example that 15 about what the treatment was, what the medical 16 we used today, you know, \$5,000, well, \$5,000 for 16 record was, they typically do a review. So 17 what? What kind of treatment are they going to 17 getting the report is absolutely, especially the 18 need? When are they going to need it? How often 18 expensive one, better later than early. 19 is that going to be? Where is this \$5,000 number 19 Q. But the less expensive one if you're going to 20 coming from? 20 have a chiro testify, chiropractor testify --21 Those are the kind of things that you see in 21 A. Uh-huh. 22 a typical narrative report. He's going to need 22 Q. -- and the treatment was just chiropractic 23 rehabilitative care. He's probably going to have 23 treatment they received, wouldn't it be better to 24 to go -- I'm speculating as to what might be in 24 have him do the -- prepare the report right after another more valuable report, but what kind of 25 25 he got done treating her so he can add in other

CV-	<u> 2016-</u>	-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:3	4:10 A	М	DEPE	Page 113 of 183
		449				451
1		things that are not in the medical that he	1		THE WITNESS	: Okay.
2		realized about the case?	2		MR. PATTAKOS	S: Here it is.
3	A.	He or she has an obligation to put in the	3		THE WITNESS	: Okay.
4		relevant stuff in the medical records. I mean	4	A.	Yes, I have it in front of m	e now.
5		that's part of the doctor's	5		MR. KEDIR: A	ll right. And her
6	Q.	Right, but we're talking about expert reports	6		medical records haven	=
7		here	7		an exhibit yet, right?	
8	A.	Right	8			: I can't remember if
9	Q.		9		we did Norris or not.	
10	A.	but he anything that's relevant, he or she	10			6: Monique's medical
11		is going to be able to look at later and get out	11		records?	
12		of the medical records. He's not going to store	12		MR. KEDIR: Ye	eah
13		relevant information about a particular patient	13			5: They were exhibits
14		in his head and then write in down in a narrative	14		to previous depositions	
15		report in the event he's asked.	15			kay. I know I have
16	Q.		16		other copies. Let me j	•
17	W.	he just finished treating the patient, correct?	17		We'll mark that	ust give you that.
18	A.		18			Those are the
19	Λ.	consulting the medical records because it's all	19			
20		fresh in his or her memory, sure.	20		records that correspond	a with the report
21	^	And that might reflect a lower fee than say if he	21		apparently.	. Con I have a conv
22	w.	had to do it two and a half years	22		Shaun?	: Can I have a copy,
23	٨	It might. It might.	23			anda Tima la alcina
24		Next you said they appear to follow a basic	24			eah, I'm looking
25	W.	formula of a few sentences where Floros merely	25		for	
23			25			450
1		450 filled in the blanks with information that was	1		(Theyeupen Defendantle I	452
2		readily apparent from the medical records.	2		(Thereupon, Defendant's E	
3	Α	Uh-huh.	3		for purposes of identificati	on.)
4		I won't we won't go through this again as far		_	I application for that dalay. Co.	
	Q.	as the difference between what's in the narrative	4	Q.	I apologize for that delay. Car	
5			5		those medical records and the	
6 7		report and the medical records, but I think you agreed, at least for Thera Reid, although	6 7		Is there anything in particular to Thomas	_
8		maybe if you really want to, real quick, glance	1		I just want, similar to Thera Ro	eia s
9		at Monique Norris	8		Oh, okay.	
10	Α.	Which is which letter is she?	10	Q.	is there information that's in	
11		I think you already have her narrative report.	11		report that is not in the medical	
12	w.	And I'll turn these in as an exhibit.	12		does the narrative report containformation that's in the medic	
13						
14		THE WITNESS: What letter is she, do you guys know, or number or where she	13	A.	No airbag, red light, left she	
15		came from?	15		immediately comes to mind	-
16			1		the report versus the medic	
17		MR. KEDIR: I got another copy	16		does give an opinion that p	-
		here of the narrative.	17		inadmissible in court with r	
18 19		THE WITNESS: I think it is here.	18		opinion or permanent inj	•
		I just don't know what letter	19	•	says any trauma can cause	
20		MR. PATTAKOS: I've got Exhibit 12	20	ų.	I'm not I don't want you to	
21		for Monique Norris.	21		report necessarily, I'm just ask	_
22		THE WITNESS: So it's a numbered	22		contains the same information	as the medical
23		yellow.	23		records or is it different?	Miles Inc.
24		MR. PATTAKOS: It's Plaintiff's	24		They're largely the same. V	=
25		Exhibit 12.	25		were, what he did, what his	gaagnosis was, it's

-201 CV-	6-09- 2016-	-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 06/17/2019 20:16 -09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:34			EXTO Page 56 of 57 DEPE Page 114 of 183
		453			455
1		largely the same.	1	Α	. Well, like I said, I believe that there was an
2	Q.	That's contained in the medical	2		evolution of what the narrative reports look
3	A.	Correct.	3		like. And the ones that I can recall seeing, but
4	Q.	report?	4		of course don't have any examples of, those on
5	A.	Yes.	5		are, you know, zero time, in 30 seconds.
6	Q.	Where in the report does it say, in my opinion,	6		MR. MANNION: Objection.
7		upon reasonable sorry. Where in the medical	7	A.	. I'm sure Dr. Floros well, I don't know. I
8		records does it say, in my opinion, based on	8		would suspect Dr. Floros has copies of his older
9		reasonable chiropractic probability, the injuries	9		ones.
10		that Monique Norris sustained were due to motor	10		THE REPORTER: Has what?
11		vehicle	11		THE WITNESS: Copies of his older
12	A.	I didn't see that. Like I said, it's largely the	12		narrative reports or perhaps no.
13		same.	13		MR. PATTAKOS: I think he's
4	Q.	You say largely, but there's several things that	14		required by law to hang on to those.
5		are	15		MR. MANNION: The law by Peter
6	A.	Yeah.	16		Pattakos.
7	Q.	Like what is the same that you see in there	17		MR. PATTAKOS: You saying the Ohio
8		that's in the medical records?	18		Revised Code doesn't apply there, Tom? We
9	Α.	The complaints that she had, the treatment that	19		are lawyers. You think it's
0		he performed. What her the fact that she went	20		MR. MANNION: Well, the rules by
!1		to the emergency room. What she complained of at			Peter Pattakos. He doesn't think it's
22		the emergency room. The fact that the low back	22		property of contention in interrogatories
23		was not an issue at the emergency room, which she	23		even though it says you can't object on
4		states alternatively is it was a gradual onset	24		that basis, he does.
:5		or something like that he said. He opines as to	25		BY MR. KEDIR:
_		454			456
1		the possibility of chronic conditions which that	1	0	And on paragraph six
2		is different. There's no reason to say that in	2		Yes.
3		the medical record. The postural stuff being out	3	-	
4			*	u.	you state I told her that I am the lawyer so
- 5		of align, that's reflected in the medical records. I'm sure there's more.	4		I'm the one that gets to advise the client as to
6			5		whether a narrative report is a justifiable
0 7		Like I said it's large to me and I	6		expense.
		suppose is relative and subjective and all that,	7		That's correct. I feel strongly about that.
8 9	_	but to me it's largely the same.	8	Q.	,
		But it does contain different language?	9		That is correct.
0		It does, it does, granted.	10	Q.	And every fact that every case be different as
1		Okay. Back to the affidavit	11		far as attorneys
2		Uh-huh.	12		Yeah
3		paragraph what is it, four? It says it was	13	Q.	it stops with the attorney, the attorney makes
4		clear that virtually no time or effort could have	14		the choice.
5		been expended on this worthless narrative	15	A.	Again, that's among a number of things that
6		Uh-huh.	16		demonstrate clearly that it's a kickback is
7		certainly no effort	17		there's always over and over and over
3		Yes.	18		and over again there's narrative reports when in
	Q.	remotely justified for the fees being paid.	19		other, I'm sure, factually-similar cases with
)		I know we already discussed at least Floros	20		other chiropractors, there is no narrative
		and the second s			

an hour, correct?

23 A. Yes.

21

22

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

cases?

report. For example, Town & Country doesn't

they do lots of soft-tissue cases.

Q. Do you ever handle any breech of fiduciary duty

produce reports with that level of frequency and

would be entitled to getting paid for producing

Q. And he might have spent, as you estimated, almost

these narrative reports, correct?

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 03/21/2019 11:34:10 AM DEPE Page 115 of 183 457 1 A. No. 1 Q. Because otherwise you'd have to pay -- they might 2 2 Q. Are you familiar with a breach of fiduciary duty? think that you have to pay for an expert report 3 A. Probably not. 3 and there's extra costs with that? Q. Probably not? 4 A. Yeah, but you pay for it one way or the other, 5 A. Yeah. 5 right? 6 Q. Can you cite to any evidence showing that Dr. 6 Q. But they already know that you already have those Floros breached the fiduciary duty to his 7 7 8 patients? 8 A. Oh, yeah, if they're going to leverage you --9 A. No, I'm only vaguely familiar with fiduciary 9 yeah, I guess, I suppose sometimes we would do 10 10 that to people on the defense side is, you know, 11 Q. Okay. You said if the cases are settled pre-lit, 11 if it's a little case, they're not going to spend 12 you have to file it, correct? 12 the money on this case, so let's push them to 13 A. Yeah, I mean as a technical matter, you know, 13 14 you're going to talk to your client about that 14 Q. And you have no facts or evidence beside 15 first and the client -- I did have a client once 15 speculation that Dr. Floros knew his reports 16 who she had social anxiety disorder and she would 16 17 not file it. 17 A. No, I don't know anything about Dr. Floros and 18 Q. And in those cases, did they -- did they usually 18 what he knew. I don't know that he's ever seen get higher settlements? 19 19 anybody else's narrative reports, if he had 20 A. If you file? That's the goal, but whether or not 20 anything to compare it to. 21 they usually do, I don't know. 21 Q. And if you knew an attorney was defrauding a 22 Q. Did you have experiences where they gave no 22 client, would you report them? 23 offer --23 A. No, no. Not in every case. 24 A. Yeah --24 Q. And --25 Q. -- and you filed it? 25 A. It would depend on the circumstances. I mean, 458 460 1 A. -- I've definitely had experiences where, you 1 defrauding, I mean, that's strong language. I 2 know, on the plaintiff's side I had a trial where 2 mean, if what you're suggesting is stealing money 3 there's a low offer before trial. I took it to 3 from somebody, yes, of course. But, you know, 4 trial, we litigated it, and I lost. And in an 4 outright -- you know, an estate case taking 5 act of God injured a pastor --5 money, yeah, I would do that. 6 Q. But have you had other cases where you filed --6 Q. And you no longer --7 there's zero offer then you filed it and then the 7 A. But if what you're suggesting too here without 8 insurance company settled --8 saying it is because I didn't report this, do I 9 A. Oh, sure. Sure. 9 think that it was okay? No, I don't think this 10 Q. -- or they offered money? 10 was okav. 11 A. Yes, yes. 11 Q. Okay. And you said that -- I know you no longer 12 Q. And those cases a narrative report would be 12 -- you still have your law license, but you no 13 involved? 13 longer practice law? 14 A. It was -- again, in most -- as I'm sure you know 14 A. Yeah, I don't actively practice law. I mean, 15 and I wouldn't disagree with -- in most instances 15 very seldom. Occasionally I'll get a DUI that I 16 when you're litigating a case, at some point the 16 do as a favor to someone. 17 judge says, you know, we're going to exchange 17 Q. Okay. And do you ever -- you refer cases still 18 expert witness reports by whatever day and you 18 though? 19 need one from whoever your testifying witnesses 19 A. Yeah, yep. If I have -- people still call me 20 20 from time to time with injuries and I refer them 21 Q. But if you already have an expert report, that's 21 to people who actively practice. 22 22 Q. Do you take a cut from the referral fee from helpful than not having an expert report once 23 23 it's filed, that they know that? that? 24 A. Yeah. For argument's sake, yeah, it's helpful, 24 A. Not always. Sometimes. 25 25 Q. Sometimes.